## midtown

HOUSTON

## MIDTOWN REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY and

## REINVESTMENT ZONE NUMBER TWO, CITY OF HOUSTON, TEXAS

 (ALSO KNOWN AS THE MIDTOWN REINVESTMENT ZONE)TO: THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE MIDTOWN REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AND THE MIDTOWN REINVESTMENT ZONE AND TO ALL OTHER INTERESTED PERSONS:

Notice is hereby given that the Board of Directors of the Midtown Redevelopment Authority (the "Authority") will hold a joint special meeting, open to the public, with the Board of Directors of the Midtown Reinvestment Zone to be held on Thursday, September 28, 2017 12:30 P.M. on the 3rd Floor of the Houston Technology Center Phase II, 410 Pierce Street, Suite 355, Honston, Texas. The Board of Directors of each of the Authority and the Midtown Reinvestment Zone will (i) consider, present and discuss orders, resolutions or motions; (ii) adopt and approve such orders, resolutions or motions; and (iii) take other actions as may be necessary, convenient or desirable, with respect to the following matters:

AGENDA

1. Call to Order and Introduction of Guests.
2. Public Comment.
3. Consent Agenda for the Midtown Reinvestment Zone:
a. Minutes for July 27, 2017.
4. Consent Agenda for the Authority:
a. Minutes for July 27, 2017;
b. Monthly financial report for July and August 2017;
c. Invoices from Trustee and Operating Accounts for August and September 2017;
d. Annual renewal of Professional Services Agreement with IDS Engineering Group.
5. Presentation of Community Outreach and Engagement Analysis Phases II and III FordMomentum.
6. Financial Audit for Fiscal Year 2017.
7. Investment Policy and Broker/Dealer List.
8. Midtown Affordable Housing Program:
a. Real Estate Acquisition Resolution;
b. CCPPI Plan Approval;
c. Affordable Housing Program.
9. Midtown Capital Improvements Program:
a. Parks and Greenspace - Walter P Moore / Design Workshop
i. Midtown Park
10. Approve Garage Change Orders - Camden
11. Approve Park Change Orders - Millis
ii. Entry Portals
b. HCC Capital Projects - IDS Engineering
i. Holman Street Pedestrian Enhancements
12. Approve Change Orders - SER
c. Main Street Enhancements - Walter P. Moore / Design Workshop

Approve Change Orders - SER
d. Caroline Street Reconstruction-ESPA Corp/KCI
i. Approve Additional Services Request for Construction Phase Services - ESPA Corp/KCI
ii. Approve Work Order for Construction Management and Inspection Services - TLC Engineering, Inc.
e. FTA Grant Program - The Goodman Corporation
i. Approve Grant Funding Project Development Agreement - The Goodman Corporation

With respect to the foregoing agenda items, the Authority may conduct an executive session with regard to the following, as appropriate and necessary:
a. Consultation with attorney (Section 551.071, Texas Government Code);
b. The purchase, exchange, lease or value of real property (Section 551.072, Texas Government Code);
c. Personnel matters (Section 551.074, Texas Government Code);
d. Security personnel or devices (Section 551.076, Texas Government Code); and
e. Economic development negotiations (Sections 551.087, Texas Government Code).

Upon entering into the executive session, the presiding officer shall note which agenda items will be discussed.
11. Adjourn.


# MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF REINVESTMENT ZONE NUMBER TWO, CITY OF HOUSTON, TEXAS 

July 27, 2017
A regular meeting of the Board of Directors (the "Board") of the Reinvestment Zone Number Two, City of Houston, Texas (the "Zone"), a non-profit corporation, was held at the Midtown Redevelopment Authority's offices in the Houston Technology Center, 410 Pierce, Houston, Texas 77002, on Thursday, July 27, 2017, at 12:30 p.m. The meeting was open to the public. The roll was called of the duly appointed members of the Board, to-wit:

and all of the above were present with the exception of Directors Erwing, Odom \& Dudley.
Also in attendance were Matt Thibodeaux, Kandi Schramm, Marlon Marshall, Todd Edwards, David Thomas, Cynthia Alvarado, Araceli Rodriguez, Michelle Ashton, Mark Sullivan, Jalisa Hurst, Amaris Salinas, and Jonathan Smith of Midtown; Peggy Foreman of Burney and Foreman; Barron F. Wallace of Bracewell LLP; Edwin Friedrichs, Brian Lozano and Rachel Ray of Walter P. Moore; Alex Ramirez of Design Workshop; Mechelle Phillips of One World Strategy Group; Jim Webb and Mariana Raschke of The Goodman Corporation; Roberta Burroughs, Algenita Scott Davis and Marsha Fisk of CCPPI; Eoles Whittaker of State Representative Coleman's Office; Jennifer Curley of City of Houston; Jeremy Rocha of Walker Parking; Harry Klein of Cole Klein Builders; Clayton Trice, Intern with Wilson, Cribbs \& Goren; Jessica Cusick; Consultant for the Art Programing in Midtown Park; Gary Bernard of 2016 Main; and Cynthia Aceves-Lewis, Dan Barnum and Jim Honey, Midtown Residents.

Chairman Sellingsloh called the meeting to order.

## CONSENT AGENDA

## MINUTES FOR JUNE 29, 2017



Matt Thibodeaux, Executive Director, presented the consent agenda to the Board.
Director Goren made a motion to approve the consent agenda. The motion was seconded by Director Fenz and carried by unanimous vote.

ADJOURNMENT
There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned.


$$
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# MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE MIDTOWN REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY 

July 27, 2017
A regular meeting of the Board of Directors (the "Board") of the Midtown Redevelopment Authority (the "Authority") was held at the Authority's offices in the Houston Technology Center, 410 Pierce, Houston, Texas 77002, on Thursday, July 27, 2017 at 12:30 p.m. The meeting was open to the public. The roll was called of the duly appointed members of the Board, to-wit:

and all of the above were present with the exception of Directors Erwing, Odom \& Dudley.
Also in attendance were Matt Thibodeaux, Kandi Schramm, Marlon Marshall, Todd Edwards, David Thomas, Cynthia Alvarado, Araceli Rodriguez, Michelle Ashton, Mark Sullivan, Jalisa Hurst, Amaris Salinas, and Jonathan Smith of Midtown; Peggy Foreman of Burney and Foreman; Barron F. Wallace of Bracewell LLP; Edwin Friedrichs, Brian Lozano and Rachel Ray of Walter P. Moore; Alex Ramirez of Design Workshop; Mechelle Phillips of One World Strategy Group; Jim Webb and Mariana Raschke of The Goodman Corporation; Roberta Burroughs, Algenita Scott Davis and Marsha Fisk of CCPPI; Eoles Whittaker of State Representative Coleman's Office; Jennifer Curley of City of Houston; Jeremy Rocha of Walker Parking; Harry Klein of Cole Klein Builders; Clayton Trice, Intern with Wilson, Cribbs \& Goren; Jessica Cusick; Consultant for the Art Programing in Midtown Park; Gary Bernard of 2016 Main; and Cynthia Aceves-Lewis, Dan Barnum and Jim Honey, Midtown Residents.

Chairman Sellingsloh called the meeting to order and asked the guests to introduce themselves.

## PUBLIC COMMENTS

Jim Honey requested that the Board coordinate with the Mayor to address concerns about public safety in Midtown. He noted his observations that the number of officers in the South Central Command Station appears to have decreased, the homeless tent count appears to have increased and Fiesta has reduces the hours that it is open.

Cynthia Aceves-Lewis wants to assist the Board in addressing public safety concerns and quality of life concerns.
a. MINUTES FOR JUNE 29, 2017;
b. MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT FOR JUNE 2017;
c. INVOICES FROM TRUSTEE AND OPERATING ACCOUNTS FOR JULY 2017.
d. ANNUAL INSURANCE RENEWAL FOR 8/01/2017 TO 7/31/2018.
e. ANNUAL RENEWAL OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH WALTER P. MOORE.

Matt Thibodeaux, Executive Director, presented the various items on the consent agenda. Director Castleman made a motion to approve the consent agenda as presented. The motion was seconded by Director Goren, which carried by unanimous vote.

## INVESTMENT REPORT FOR THE QUARTER ENDING JUNE 30, 2017.

Mr. Thibodeaux present the investment report for the quarter ending June 30, 2017 noting the average rate yield for the accounts is $0.517604 \%$ for a total amount of interested earned for the quarter ending June 30,2017 is $\$ 107,911.28$. Director Goren made a motion to accept and approve the Investment Report for the Quarter ending June 30, 2017. The motion was seconded by Director Castleman and carried by unanimous vote.

## MPC UPDATE - MIDTOWN PARK ART PRESENTATION

Vernon Williams introduced Jessica Cusick, the consultant for the Midtown Park Art Plan to the Board. Ms. Cusick noted that she and Rick Lowe developed the Midtown Park Art Plan which was approved by the MIDCorp Board of Directors late in 2015. The Plan establishes visions and goals for both permanent and temporary art in Midtown Park. Ms. Cusick reported that artists were selected by way of an invitational competition with a panel of local art professionals selecting artists. Sharon Engelstein, Dixie Friend Gay and Shahzia Sikander were commissioned to create site specific pieces for Midtown Park. Ms. Engelstein created Tall Ma and Little Ricky as playground sculptures that were installed in Midtown Park July 15, 2017. Ms. Gay is creating a mosaic mural of a Texas meadow to be installed at the berm along Main Street and is anticipating installation in late October 2017. Ms. Sikander is currently working to create a fountain of glass mosaic with an anticipated install of late fall/winter.

## MIDTOWN AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROGRAM

a. REAL ESTATE RESOLUTION

There is no resolution for this month.
b. CCPPI UPDATE;

Todd Edwards introduced Roberta Burroughs and Algenita Scott Davis of CCPPI. Ms. Davis gave a brief history of herself noting that she is a native Houstonian, Chair of TIRZ \#9, Vice Chair of TIRZ \#7 and Executive Director for Habitat for Humanity and dedicated to affordable housing. Ms. Burroughs presented the comprehensive
report titled Midtown Affordable Housing Plan 2017. She stated that the Report has relied on the CDS Market Study in order to reach certain conclusions and as the basis for some of the recommendations. Ms. Burroughs emphasized that infrastructure was a very important component of the effort. She explained that the report process identified existing conditions, assessed market conditions, conducted affordability analysis, developed design guidelines, drafted a development program, formulated goals and composed recommendations. The area between Emancipation Ave and Elgin Street has been designated as the Demonstration District. It is anticipated that a 10 year period will be required to begin to give the program a foothold in the community. Director Fenz would like to receive feedback from the Directors prior to the September Board of Directors meeting. Ms. Burroughs thanked all who participated with the development of the report including Walter P. Moore, Danny Samuel of Taft Architects, Centro Partners, CDS Market Studies, and Design Workshop.

## UPDATE ON AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROGRAM

No update at this meeting.

## MIDTOWN CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

b. PARKS AND GREENSPACE - WALTER P. MOORE/DESIGN WORKSHOP
i. MIDTOWN PARK

GARAGE CHANGE ORDERS - CAMDEN
Marlon Marshall reported that Camden continues work on the replacement of the pavilion deck. Concrete demolition has been completed and the condition of the deck has been reviewed by Walter P. Moore and the team. Due to holes punched in the deck during the concrete demo, the deck in its current condition will not be suitable for re-use without modifications to the slab design. The design team will provide a drawing reflecting the modification of the existing slab design through the use of more reinforcing and thicker concrete. It has been discovered that one of the CMU block walls is missing. This missing wall may have contributed to the cracking of the stage. It is anticipated that the work on the pavilion lid will be completed in 2-3 weeks after receipt of updated plans.

Mr. Marshall reported that there were no garage change orders at this time.

## PARK CHANGE ORDERS - MILLIS.

Mr. Marshall reported that work continues to move forward on the bayou and calm pool, rain fountain, granite pavers and irrigation. He anticipates substantial completion in late August 2017.

Mr. Marshall reported that there were no park change orders at this time.

## ii. ENTRY PORTALS

Mr. Marshall reported that construction on the Entry Portals is continuing.

## c. HCC CAPITAL PROJECTS - IDS ENGINEERS

## i. HOLMAN STREET PEDESTRIAN ENHANCEMENTS

Mr. Marshall reported that the Holman Street project bike and parking signage changes have been approved by the City of Houston.

## APPROVE CHANGE ORDERS - SER

Director Castleman made a motion to approve Change Order \#10 in the amount of $\$ 30,423.60$ for bike and parking signage. The motion was seconded by Director Fenz and carried by unanimous vote.
d. MAIN STREET ENHANCEMENTS - WALTER P. MOORE /DESIGN WORKSHOP

Mr. Marshall reported that the contractor continues to work on the sidewalk installations on the west blockfaces between Gray and McGowen. He reported that 2016 Main has a basement encroachment into the right of way that will make it to dangerous to work on that block. The team has received a proposal from METRO for up to a total of $\$ 238,000$ for median maintenance. Mr. Marshall will ask METRO to participate in the night work costs. He hopes to have an agreement with METRO by next month.
i. APPROVE CHANGE ORDERS - SER

No change order at this time.
e. CAROLINE STREET RECONSTRUCTION - ESPA/KCI

Mr. Marshall reported that we are meeting with the contractor August 22, 2017. . Construction is expected to begin in late 2017.
f. FTA GRANT PROGRAM - THE GOODMAN CORPORATION

The team has met with The Goodman Corporation to review additional funding grants.
WITH RESPECT TO THE FOREGOING AGENDA ITEMS, CONDUCT AN EXECUTIVE SESSION WITH REGARD TO THE FOLLOWING, AS APPROPRIATE AND NECESSARY:
a. CONSULTATION WITH ATTORNEY (SECTION 551.071, TEXAS GOVERNMENT CODE);
b. THE PURCHASE, EXCHANGE, LEASE OR VALUE OF REAL PROPERTY (SECTION 551.072, TEXAS GOVERNMENT CODE);
c. PERSONNEL MATTERS (SECTION 551.074, TEXAS GOVERNMENT CODE;
d. SECURITY PERSONNEL OR DEVICES (SECTION 551.076, TEXAS GOVERNMENT CODE); AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT NEGOTIATIONS (SECTIONS 551.087, TEXAS GOVERNMENT CODE).

The Board did not conduct an executive session at this meeting.

## ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned.
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Checking/Savings
101001 - Wells Fargo Ope Acctg $64040 \quad 7,446,922.80$

101002 • Infrastructure Projects $1731 \quad 123,940.23$
101010 - WF Surplus Acct $63943 \quad 882.34$
101020 - WF FTA Enhanced Path $63919 \quad 48.94$
102200 - Logic Operating Account (Investment Account) 354,332.93
103200 • TexStar Operating Acct $1111 \quad \mathbf{1 , 0 2 8 , 5 5 6 . 2 2}$
103600 - Wells Fargo Oper Inves $63901 \quad 727.60$
103700 WF Operating Saving 3215777180 115,275.98
104000 - Affordable Housing Accounts
104021 - WF Afford Hous 3927 4,666,633.04
104022 WF Pilot Program 3935 342.70
104023 . WF PILOT PRO SAV 0086035.72
104116 • TexStar Aff. Hsng MM 1800 1,919.16
$104200 \cdot$ Logic Affordable Housing (Investment Account) 2,380.72
Total 104000 - Affordable Housing Accounts
105000 - Trustee Investments
105001 • Pledge Revenue Fund 422885
105100 • Pledge Revenue Fund -422885
Total 105001 • Pledge Revenue Fund 422885
12,109.10

105002 • Debt Service Fund
105200 - BNY-Debt Service Fund 422896
Total 105002 • Debt Service Fund
4,671,311.34

105003 - Reserve Fund 422897
105324 • TexStar Debt Res Fnd MM 1023 (Debt Reserve Fund)
7,945,029.50
Total 105003 • Reserve Fund 422897
105009 - Austin Park Maint. Fund 422919
105901 - Austin Park Money Market Acct.
Total 105009 • Austin Park Maint. Fund 422919
107000 • BOND FUNDS
107012 • BNY 4432642011 Escrow
10,892,357.61
10,892,357.61

107017 • 93793384002017 ESCROW
107018 • LOGIC 2017 PROJECT FUND (Trust Account 7487592004)
$1,312,428.94$

107019 • LOGIC 2017 AFFORDABLE HOUSING (Trust Account 7487592005)
20,093,962.85

Total 107000 - BOND FUNDS
7,961,995.92
29,368,397.70

Total 105000 - Trustee Investments
Total Checking/Savings
48,221,358.99

Accounts Receivable
130100 - Tax Increments Receivable
103152 • Harris County Tax Increment
$-7,481,412.05$
Total 130100 - Tax Increments Receivable
$-7,481,412.05$
170000 - Accounts Receivable
$170008 \cdot$ KIOS
600.00


LIABILITIES \& EQUITY
Liabilities


## Midtown Redevelopment Authority <br> Balance Sheet <br> As of August 31, 2017

Net Income
-3,396,774.90
Total Equity
TOTAL LIABILITIES \& EQUITY
19,210,213.01

## Midtown Redevelopment Authority Profit \& Loss

August 2017
Aug 17
Ordinary Income/Expense
Income
400000 - Revenue \& Support

| 400025 • Interest-Debt Service \& Reserve | $6,973.52$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 400026 • Interest-Other Bond Funds | $30,188.52$ |
| 400029 • Interest - Affordable Housing | 132.28 |
| 400030 • Interest-Operating Funds | $1,338.67$ |
| 400031 - Interest Income | 2.94 |
| 400032 - Other Revenue | 719.12 |
| otal 400000 • Revenue \& Support | $39,355.05$ |
| Income | $39,355.05$ |
| fit | $39,355.05$ |

Expense
500000 • BOND FUND EXPENSES
500003 - T-0225 Mobility

| 500009 - T-0210 Main Street Improvements | $12,391.98$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 500010 - CIP '03 Admin \& General Exp. | $14,820.00$ |
| 500048 T-0235 Holman Ped Enchancement | $14,890.04$ |


| Total 504000 - Projects \& Expenses | 42,102.02 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total 500000 BOND FUND EXPENSES | 53,482.52 |
| 510000 • INCREMENT PROJECTS/EXPENSE |  |
| 510003 Holman Street St T-021 | 512.39 |
| 510008 - T-0220 Afford Housing Lan |  |
| 510017 - T-0220 Drainage Fees | 1,479.18 |
| 512001 - T-0220 Aff Hous Expense | 342,504.41 |
| Total $510008 \cdot$ T-0220 Afford Housing Land | 343,983.59 |
| 510045 - T-0224 HTC I - Bldg Maintenance | 1,643.03 |
| 510046 - T-0221 Midtown Pk | 68,366.83 |
| 510102 - HMAAC Interest Expense | 4,706.85 |
| 510534 - T-0225 Mobility \& Pedest Imprv | 14,170.00 |
| 510700 - Municipal Services Costs | 14,841.04 |
| 511001 - T-0232 Public \& Cultural Fac | 100,000.00 |
| 511002 - T-0233 Midtown Park Garage | 12,470.36 |
| Total 510000 - INCREMENT PROJECTS/EXPENSE | 560,694.09 |

550000 - General \& Admin. Expense
550002 - Contract Labor 4,250.00

550003 • Rent Expense (Additional office space) 3,995.00
550004 - Salaries
550013 - Dental Coverage $\quad 1.16$
550014 • Health Insruance $\quad 7,664.16$

550015 • AFLAC 1,065.54
550004 - Salaries - Other $\quad 107,942.80$
Total 550004 - Salaries
116,673.66
550007 - Courier Service

## Midtown Redevelopment Authority

## Profit \& Loss

August 2017
Aug 17


# Midtown Redevelopment Authority 

Trial Balance
As of September 30, 2017
Sep 30, 17

|  | Sep 17 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Debit | Credit |
| 101001 - Wells Fargo Ope Acctg 64040 | 579,826.86 |  |
| 101002 - Infrastructure Projects 1731 | 123,940.23 |  |
| 101010 - WF Surplus Acct 63943 | 882.34 |  |
| 101020 - WF FTA Enhanced Path 63919 | 48.94 |  |
| 102200 - Logic Operating Account | 6,354,332.93 |  |
| $103200 \cdot$ TexStar Operating Acct 1111 | 1,029,470.07 |  |
| 103600 - Wells Fargo Oper Inves 63901 | 727.60 |  |
| $103700 \cdot$ WF Operating Saving 3215777180 | 115,275.98 |  |
| 104021 - WF Afford Hous 3927 | 1,366,659.44 |  |
| 104022 - WF Pilot Program 3935 | 342.70 |  |
| 104023 WF PILOT PRO SAV 00860 | 35.72 |  |
| 104116 TexStar Aff. Hsng MM 1800 | 1,919.16 |  |
| 104200 - Logic Affordable Housing | 3,002,380.72 |  |
| 105100 • Pledge Revenue Fund -422885 | 12,109.10 |  |
| 105200 - BNY-Debt Service Fund 422896 | 10,892,357.61 |  |
| 105324 - TexStar Debt Res Fnd MM 1023 | 7,945,029.50 |  |
| 105901 - Austin Park Money Market Acct. | 3,465.08 |  |
| 107012 - BNY 4432642011 Escrow | 9.99 |  |
| 107017 - 93793384002017 ESCROW | 1,312,428.94 |  |
| 107018 - LOGIC 2017 PROJECT FUND | 19,772,924.49 |  |
| 107019 - LOGIC 2017 AFFORDABLE HOUSING | 7,276,999.92 |  |
| 103152 Harris County Tax Increment |  | ,481,412.05 |
| 170008 KIOS | 600.00 |  |
| 170020 - Houston Technology Center | 18,624.27 |  |
| 170021 - HTC BUILTOUT | 361,545,20 |  |
| 170030 - FTA INCOME | 7,340.00 |  |
| 170060 - Fourth Ward Redevelopment Autho | 12,941.58 |  |
| 150010 - Office Furniture \& Equipment | 26,321.36 |  |
| 150011 - Accumluated Depreciation-Furn. |  | ,321.36 |
| 150020 - Computer Equipment | 32,057.11 |  |
| 150021 - Accumulated Depreciation-Comp. |  | 32,057.11 |
| 150040 - Land - JPI Park | 736,911.00 |  |
| 150045 - Walgreens/Lui Park Land | 141,000.00 |  |
| 150062 Land - Houston Tech.Center I | 798,053.89 |  |
| 150063 - Houston Tech Center I | 2,667,192.62 |  |
| 150064 - Accm Deprec-Houston Tech Cntr I |  | ,911,999.05 |
| 150065 - Land - HTC Phase II | 697,219.00 |  |
| 150066 - Houston Tech Center II | 2,816,117.96 |  |
| 150067 - Accum. Deprec. HTC Phase I |  | ,560,598.92 |
| 150069 - Land - Bagby Park | 1,318,870.15 |  |
| 150070 - BagbyPark | 2,453,218.83 |  |
| 150071 - Accum.Deprec. BagbyPark |  | 930,906.01 |
| 150075 - Midtown Park 2905 Travis St | 3,506,306.26 |  |
| 150078 - Midtown Park Land-Tracts I \& \\| | 8,210,142.45 |  |

Midtown Redevelopment Authority
Trial Balance
As of September 30, 2017
Sep 30, 17

|  | Sep 30, 17 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Debit | Credit |
| 150078A - Midtown (Superblock) Garage | 16,281,301.00 |  |
| 150078B • Midtown (Superblockj) Park | 3,160,059.00 |  |
| 150080 Land (Resale) | 51,279,263.43 |  |
| 150081 - Earnest Money | 26,686.18 |  |
| 150082 - Option Fees | 10,500.00 |  |
| 150803 Affordable Housing Legal | 109,050.45 |  |
| 150804 - Affordable Housing Misc | 806,355.46 |  |
| 150805 • AFFORD HOUS GRANTS | 126,750.28 |  |
| 150089 Land HMAAC (Land) | 1,206,150.00 |  |
| 150090 - HMAAC Property | 918,850.00 |  |
| 150091 Accum Depr HMAAC |  | 313,939.98 |
| 150100 2800 MAIN | 317,069.93 |  |
| 200000 - Accounts Payable |  | 37,670.72 |
| 202001 - Retainage Payable |  | 546,263.71 |
| 200001 - Current Liablities |  | 13,800.00 |
| 201001 - MIDCORP Kios |  | 20,954.84 |
| 202000 - Project Fund Liabilities |  | 18,578.35 |
| 202100 - Harris County 19\% Aff. Housing | 1,413,249.45 |  |
| 2021062 Due MMD | 2,322.34 |  |
| 2021064 - Due to FWRA | 1,984.20 |  |
| 2021063 - trn to Aff Housing |  | 1,238,661.25 |
| 204000 - HMAAC NOTE - CURRENT |  | 102,902.00 |
| 2103007 - Developer Advances Midtown P |  | 15,449,816.00 |
| 210044 - Bonds Payable Series 2011 |  | 19,280,000.00 |
| 210047 • Bonds Payabe Series '13 |  | 25,450,000.00 |
| 210048 - Current Portion Bonds Payable |  | 6,195,000.00 |
| 210049 - Bond Payable Series '15 |  | 11,900,000.00 |
| 210050 - Bond Payable Series 2017 |  | 38,125,000.00 |
| 210053 - Accrued Bond Int 2015 series |  | 81,914.58 |
| 210055 - Accrued Bond Interest 13 Series |  | 230,644.80 |
| 210056 - Accrued Bond Interest Series 11 |  | 174,947.92 |
| 210058 - Series 2013 BOND PREMIUM |  | 1,211,026.45 |
| 210059 - Series 2015 Bond Prem |  | 988,184.26 |
| 210060 Bond Premium Series 05 |  | 290,816.50 |
| 210061 - Series 2017 Bond Premium |  | 4,219,699.85 |
| 2103003 HMAAC LOAN REFINANCED |  | 1,351,781.52 |
| 1110 Retained Earnings |  | 22,606,987.91 |
| 400020 - Reimb Off Exp \& Staff |  | 3,500.00 |
| 400022 - HTC Performance Rent |  | 23,259.53 |
| 400025 - Interest-Debt Service \& Reserve |  | 13,599.16 |
| 400026 - Interest-Other Bond Funds |  | 67,924.72 |
| 400029 - Interest - Affordable Housing |  | 325.24 |
| 400030 - Interest-Operating Funds |  | 2,636.06 |
| 400031 - Interest Income |  | 378.46 |

Midtown Redevelopment Authority
Trial Balance
As of September 30, 2017
Sep 30, 17

|  | Sep |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Debit | Credit |
| 400032 - Other Revenue |  | 1,700.47 |
| 4004036 - Main Street Project |  | 1,131,063.05 |
| 500002 - T-0211 Holman St | 18,549.80 |  |
| 500003 - T-0225 Mobility | 16,423.57 |  |
| 500007 - T-0234 Parks and Open Space | 77,232.80 |  |
| 500009 - T-0210 Main Street Improvements | 377,470.91 |  |
| 500010 - CIP '03 Admin \& General Exp. | 33,250.00 |  |
| 500048 - T-0235 Hoiman Ped Enchancement | 14,890.04 |  |
| 500022 - Affordable Housing Expenditures | 1,950.00 |  |
| 510003 - Holman Street St T-0211 | 1,710.69 |  |
| 510532 - T-0211-HCC Acad WK FTA | 895.68 |  |
| 510009 T-0220 Afford Housing Ins | 11,550.00 |  |
| 510013 - T-0220 Affordable Housing Legal | 47,500.00 |  |
| 510017 - T-0220 Drainage Fees | 2,781.26 |  |
| 512001 • T-0220 Aff Hous Expense | 832,772.07 |  |
| 510041 - CIP Program Expenses | 130.00 |  |
| 510044 - T-0236 Bagby Park | 326.67 |  |
| 510045 - T-0224 HTC I - Bldg Maintenance | 38,455.99 |  |
| 510046 - T-0221 Midtown Pk | 703,523.11 |  |
| 510102 HMAAC Interest Expense | 14,690.08 |  |
| 510534 • T-0225 Mobility \& Pedest Impry | 27,820.00 |  |
| 510700 - Municipal Services Costs | 24,453.54 |  |
| 511001 - T-0232 Public \& Cultural Fac | 100,000.00 |  |
| 511002 - T-0233 Midtown Park Garage | 73,571.79 |  |
| 550002 - Contract Labor | 9,490,00 |  |
| 550003 - Rent Expense | 11,985.00 |  |
| 550004 - Salaries | 215,791.85 |  |
| 550013 - Dental Coverage | 77.24 |  |
| 550014 - Health Insruance | 15,328.32 |  |
| 550015 AFLAC | 1,827.19 |  |
| 550007 - Courier Service | 514.39 |  |
| 550008 - Office Supply \& Expense | 3,087.53 |  |
| 550009 - Misc Exp | 7,011.80 |  |
| 550010 - Telephone \& Utilities | 3,719.17 |  |
| 550110 - Cellular Service | 248.84 |  |
| 550012 - Postage | 555.79 |  |
| 550022 - Bank Charges \& Fees | 661.19 |  |
| 550025 - Professional Services | 28,018.40 |  |
| 550026 - Accounting Consultants | 6,070.00 |  |
| 550027 - Financial Audit | 20,000.00 |  |
| 550028 - Legal Consultants | 64,440.23 |  |
| 550030 - Planning Consultants | 100.00 |  |
| 550032 - Engineering Consultants | 24,867.00 |  |
| 550034 - Equip Rent \& Lease Expense | 1,968.39 |  |

Midtown Redevelopment Authority
Trial Balance
As of September 30, 2017
Sep 30, 17


As of July 31, 2017

Checking/Savings

| 101001 - Wells Fargo Ope Acctg 64040 | $2,759,551.25$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $101002 \cdot$ Infrastructure Projects 1731 | $\mathbf{1 2 3 , 9 3 5 . 4 9}$ |

101010 - WF Surplus Acct $63943 \quad 798.15$
101020 - WF FTA Enhanced Path 6391948.94

102200 • Logic Operating Account (Investment Account) 353,952.34
$103200 \cdot$ TexStar Operating Acct $1111 \quad 1,027,688.73$
103600 - Wells Fargo Oper Inves $63901 \quad 727.57$
103700 WF Operating Saving $3215777180 \quad 115,273.04$
104000 - Affordable Housing Accounts
104021 • WF Afford Hous $3927 \quad$ 2,581,107.51
104022 - WF Pilot Program 3935 242.69
104023 - WF PILOT PRO SAV $00860 \quad 45.72$
$104116 \cdot$ TexStar Aff. Hsng MM $1800 \quad 1,917.56$
104200 - Logic Affordable Housing (Investment Account) $\quad 2,378.20$
Total 104000 - Affordable Housing Accounts
105000 - Trustee Investments
105001 - Pledge Revenue Fund 422885
105100 • Pledge Revenue Fund -422885 12,104.54
12,104.54
Total 105001 • Pledge Revenue Fund 422885
2,585,791.68

105002 - Debt Service Fund
105200 • BNY-Debt Service Fund 422896
Total 105002 - Debt Service Fund
10,881,720.69
105003 - Reserve Fund 422897
105324 • TexStar Debt Res Fnd MM 1023 (Debt Reserve Fund)
7,944,681.62

105009 • Austin Park Maint. Fund 422919
105901 - Austin Park Money Market Acct.
Total 105009 • Austin Park Maint. Fund 422919


107000 - BOND FUNDS
107012 • BNY 4432642011 Escrow 107000 - BOND FUNDS

107017-937933 84002017 ESCROW
1,312,428.94
107018 • LOGIC 2017 PROJECT FUND (Trust Account 7487592004)
20,140,855.27
107019 • LOGIC 2017 AFFORDABLE HOUSING (Trust Account 7487592005)
7,953,444.21
Total $107000 \cdot$ BOND FUNDS
29,406,738.41
Total 105000 • Trustee Investments
Total Checking/Savings
55,216,476.22
Accounts Receivable
170000 - Accounts Receivable

| 170008 • KIOS | $6,700.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 170010 - Midtown Management District | $91,105.90$ |
| 170011 - MIDCORP | $116,910.02$ |
| $170020 \cdot$ Houston Technology Center | $22,897.49$ |

As of July 31, 2017

## LIABILITIES \& EQUITY

Liabilities
Current Liabilities

Accounts Payable
200000 • Accounts Payable
202001 • Retainage Payable 546,263.71
200000 • Accounts Payable - Other 348,672.32
Total 200000 • Accounts Payable 894,936.03
Total Accounts Payable
894,936.03
Other Current Liabilities
200001 Current Liablities
201000 - Operating Account Liabilities -62.10
201001 • MIDCORP Kios 20,954.84
202000 • Project Fund Liabilities 18,578.35
2021062 • Due MMD -2,322.34
2021064 • Due to FWRA -1,984.20
203000 - Escrow Fund Liabilities 2021063 trn to Aff Housing $\quad 1,238,661.25$

1,238,661.25
102,902.00
204000 - HMAAC NOTE - CURRENT
13,800.00
Total 200001 - Current Liablities
1,390,527.80
2103007 - Developer Advances Midtown Park
$15,449,816.00$
Total Other Current Liabilities
Total Current Liabilities
Long Term Liabilities
210000 - Long Term Liabilities
210044 • Bonds Payable Series 2011
210047 - Bonds Payabe Series '13
210048 - Current Portion Bonds Payable
210049 - Bond Payable Series '15
210050 - Bond Payable Series 2017
210058 - Series 2013 BOND PREMIUM
210059 • Series 2015 Bond Prem
210061 • Series 2017 Bond Premium
2103000 - LOANS
2103003 HMAAC LOAN REFINANCED
Total $2103000 \cdot$ LOANS
Total 210000 - Long Term Liabilities
Total Long Term Liabilities
Total Liabilities
19,280,000.00
$25,450,000.00$
6,195,000.00
$11,900,000.00$
38,125,000.00
1,223,774.09
1,010,390.64
$4,255,920.45$
$1,369,410.00$
1,369,410.00
108,809,495.18
$108,809,495.18$

Equity
$1110 \cdot$ Retained Earnings (Retained Earnings)
22,606,687.91
Net Income
-1,052,759.28
Total Equity
TOTAL LIABILITIES \& EQUITY

21,553,928.63
148,098,703.64

July 2017
Ordinary Income/Expense
Income


Jul 17


$$
\delta_{p_{\lambda \lambda}}
$$

$\frac{\text { Date }}{\text { SEPEMEER } 2017}$

101001 Wells Fargo Ope Acctg 64040

| 09/01/20178381 | NOTonsite | MRA Monthly Services | 1,975.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09/01/20178387 | Houston Technology Center | Rent: 2 rooms; 1 storage room; 7 parking spaces SEPTE | 3,695.00 |
| 09/05/2017 DEBIT | AT\&T -2 | Office phones | 356.83 |
| 09/05/2017 8388 | One World Strategy Group, LLC | Monthly retianer per contract June 2017 Dated 07-03-20 | 7,500.00 |
| 09/05/2017 debit | T-Mobile | 110855028 AUG17 | 124.43 |
| 09/06/2017 8389 | Millis Development \& Construction, Inc, | MRA - IDS Project No. 1174-005-07 JULY 2017 | 478,657.44 |
| 09/07/20178390 | PHONOSCOPE SERVICES, INC. | SEPTEMBER 2017 | 144.75 |
| 09/11/2017 8391 | Kwik Kopy | 40 BROCHURE MAPS GLOSSY 1 SIDE 8X5 | 349.00 |
| 09/11/2017 8392 | Midtown Parks Conservancy | JANUARY \& FEBRUARY PARK KIOS LEASE | 6,400,00 |
| 09/12/2017 \# 493495 | City of Houston - Water | 155065 | 1,036.75 |
| 09143/2017 1769845612 | TPX Communications | Acct \# 153341 Internet services | 656.52 |
| 09/18/2017 176505777 | ATT-1 | Elevators 410 \& 402 Pierce | 312.84 |
| 09/18/2017 1746530625 | ATT -1 | 2811 TRAVIS | 163.25 |
| 09/18/2017 1746546761 | AI | 2811 TRAVIS | 244.87 |
| 09118/2017 1746643350 | ATT-1 | 2811 TRAVIS FAX | 163.25 |
| 09/18/2017 8393 | CHAMPION ENERGY SERVICES | 2811 Travis St | 1,643.14 |
| 09/18/2017 8394 | City of Houston-Public Works | Encroachment Fee 402 Pierce St 7702 OCT 2017 - OCT : | 125.00 |
| 09/20/2017 | Reliant Enargy | 1551 1/2 HEINER ST | 115.50 |
| 09/20/2017 DEBIT | Reliant Energy | 1201 1/2 HOLMAN | 121.40 |
| 09/20/2017 | Reliant Energy | 3500 1/2 MILAM | 67.91 |
| 09/20/2017 | Reliant Energy | 1625 1/2 HOLMAN ST | 40,05 |
| 09/20/2017 DEBIT | T-3 | New Tablet 713 561-1148 | 22.39 |
| 09/21/2017 8396 | City of Houston - Water | VOID: 155065 | 0.00 |
| 09/22/2017 8397 | City of Houston - Water | 155065 | 1,027.71 |
| 09/28/2017 8398 | Bee-Line Delivery Service | 550008 | 266.99 |
| 09/28/2017 8399 | Bracewell LLP |  | 17,876,54 |
| 09/28/20178400 | CRI | Progress Billing for the agreed-upon-procedures MRA 06 | 20,000,00 |
| 09/28/2017 8401 | Design Workshop, inc. | DW MIDTOWN WO\#1 AUGUST 2017 | 15,046,45 |
| 09/28/2017 8402 | FirstSouthwest | Computational fee incurred in connection with abritrage ces | 5,180.00 |
| 09/28/2017 8403 | FordMomentum, LLC | Communications Assessment | 2,600.00 |
| 09128/2017 8404 | Hurnana Dental Insurance Company | Dentai Insurance OCTOBER 2017 | 76.08 |
| 09/28/2017 8405 | IDS Engineering Group |  | 55,607.90 |
| 09/28/2017 8406 | marimon | Coniract bas cost \& copies | 286,00 |
| 09/28/2017 8407 | MBM Financial Corporation | CANON/IRAC5250 | 244.06 |
| 09/28/2017 8408 | McConneil \& Jones, LLP | Aff Hou Computation; Adj entries; AH Letters FY2017; adj | 2,320,00 |
| 09/28/2017 8409 | Midtown Scouts Square Property, LP | CONTRACT PARKING 2017 | 300.00 |
| 09/28/2017 8410 | NFBPA Membership | Mermbership renewal 2017-2018 Matt Thibodeaux | 235.00 |
| 09/28/2017 8411 | One World Sirategy Group, LLC | Monthly retianer per conlract Augusr 2017 | 7,500.00 |
| 09/28/2017 8412 | Pitney Bowes Global Financial Services | Lease | 151.87 |
| 09/28/2017 8413 | Purchase Power | Postage Purchased 36512879 Postage Purhase AUGUS | 297.34 |
| 09/28/2017 8414 | Qualtrics LLC | Community Survey | 6,300.00 |
| 09/28/20178415 | Staples Advantage |  | 657.80 |
| 09/28/2017 8415 | The Goodman Corporation | TA | 14,170.00 |
| 09/28/2017 8417 | The Tab Store | BLANK TABS | 128.80 |
| 09/28/2017 8418 | THR Enterprises, Inc. | Dumpster | 9,612.50 |
| 09/28/2017 8419 | ThyssenKrupp Elevator |  | 1,467.46 |
| 09/28/2017 8420 | TLC Engineering, Inc. | Midtown Park Garage | 32,845,90 |
| 09/28/2017 8421 | Walter P. Moore |  | 53,557,72 |
| 09/28/2017 8422 | West Unified Communications Services | Meeting Center Audio | 93.16 |
| 09/30/2017 DEBIT | Liberty Bank and Trust | 53752 SEPT2017 | 13,689,68 |


| Date | Num | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AUGUST 2047 |  | Memo |

101001 - Wells Fargo Ope Acctg 64040


Midtown Redevelopment Authority
Bond \& Project Fund Expenses \& Balances
Saturday, September 30, 2017


93793384002017 ESCROW
0.00
9.99
$1,312,428.94$


| Date | Num | Name | Memo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEPTEMBER 2017 |  |  | Debit |

104000 - Affordable Housing Accounts


AUGUST 2017
104000 - Affordable Housing Accounts
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## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Board of Directors<br>Midtown Redevelopment Authority<br>Houston, Texas

## Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of Midtown Redevelopment Authority (the Authority), a component unit of the City of Houston, Texas, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Authority's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

## Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.
An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

## Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the governmental activities and each major fund of Midtown Redevelopment Authority, as of June 30, 2017, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## Other Matters

## Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information on pages 4-13 and 37-38 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards, BOard, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

## Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming gpinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Authority's basic firrancial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purpeses of additional analysis as required by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrotive Requivements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, and is not a required part of the basie financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derivedfrom and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The schedule of operating expenses and capital expenditures for the year ended June 30, 2017, the schedule of estimated project costs to actual costs for the period from December 29, 1995 (date of inception) through June 30,2017 , the schedule of properties held - land held for resale, and the schedule of capital assets, on pages 39 through 54, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. These schedules have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on them.

## Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated September XX, 2017 on our consideration of Midtown Redevelopment Authority's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering Midtown Redevelopment Authority's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

September XX, 2017
Houston, Texas


## Midtown Redevelopment Authority Management's Discussion and Analysis

This discussion and analysis of Midtown Redevelopment Authority's (the Authority) financial statements provides an overview of the Authority's financial performance during the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016. This discussion and analysis includes comparative data for the year ended June 30,2017 with the year ended June 30, 2016 and a brief explanation for significant changes between fiscal years. Since the Management's Discussion and Analysis is designed to focus on current activities, resulting changes and current known facts, please read in conjunction with the Authority's basic financial statements and the notes to the financial statements.

## FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- Upon creation of the Tax Increment Reinvestment Zone Number Two (Midtown TIRZ or Zone) in 1995, and the first annexation of land in 1999, the appraised value for real property located within the Zone was $\$ 211,775,890$. The preliminary appraised value for tax year 2017 (collectible fiscal year 2018) is $\$ 2,197,733,211$ with a preliminary taxable value of $\$ 2,149,593,844$ (net of exemptions).
- Section $42.25116(b)(3)$ of the Texas Education Code provides for the Texas Education Agency to pay additional funds to school districts participating in tax incrementreinvestment zones in an amount equal to the difference between (1) the tax levies collected on the district's maintenance and operations tax rate for 2006 and each year thereafter and (2) the levies that would have been collected at the district's 2005 maintenance and operations rate for each subsequent year (Pass Through Funds). In fiscal year 2017, the City of Houston (the City received the Pass-Through Funds for tax year 2015, which totaled $\$ 3,338,118$. After the educational set aside component was satisfied the balance of the funds were placed in the Authority's Affordable Housing Increment Account in the amount of $\$ 1,112,706$, of which $19 \%$ went to fund affordable housing for Hav is County.
- The Authority issued $\$ 39,3 t 0,000$ of Tax Increment Contract Revenue and Refunding Bonds (the Series 2017 Bonds) on January 11, 2017 (fiscal year 2017). The proceeds of Series 2017 Bonds will be used in accordance with the Authority's Project Plan for (1) defeasing and refunding outstanding bonds; (2) financing certain project costs related to streets, infrastructure and streetscapes, and greenspace and park improvements, including a public parking structure; (3) funding affordable housing; (4) funding the Reserve Requirement of the Debt Service Reserve Fund; and (5) paying costs of issuance. This is the eighth bond sale for the Authority. The bonds are rated " A " (Stable Outlook) by Standard \& Poor's Rating Services and "A2" by Moody's Investors Service.
- On July 1, 2015, the Authority entered into an Operating Agreement (the Operating Agreement) with Midtown Improvement and Development Corporation (MIDCorp) as a third party contractor to operate, manage, maintain and preserve certain park facilities pursuant to the terms of an Operating Agreement. The park facilities include Bagby Park, Midtown Park and the parking facilities constructed under Midtown Park. Under the Operating Agreement and in performance of MIDCorp's obligations, the Authority has agreed to pay an "Annual Management Fee" of $\$ 250,000$ per year in each of the first two years, then in each year thereafter, an amount not to exceed $\$ 500,000$ based on MIDCorp's annual operating budget. If in any fiscal year MIDCorp collects revenues in excess of the amount required for operations, as further described in the Operating Agreement, and less the Annual Management Fee such excess amounts shall be credited towards the Annual Management Fee for the following fiscal year. Additionally, the Authority has agreed to pay to MIDCorp an amount equal to $\$ 50,000$ to be applied to a Renewal and Replacement Fund. The Authority budgeted in Fiscal Year 2017 approximately $\$ 1,017,819$ for the operations of the Zone.


## Midtown Redevelopment Authority Management's Discussion and Analysis

- In January 2017, the Authority approved an Amended 2017 Municipal Service Cost Agreement with the City. Pursuant to that Agreement, the Authority remitted $\$ 781,263$ to the City in June 2017 for the purposes of reimbursing the City for increased public safety services within the Zone. This agreement is anticipated to automatically renew annually at amounts to be determined pursuant to the adopted budget. In addition to the amount of Municipal Service Costs line item shown in the fiscal year 2017 budget, the Zone and the Authority may pay to the Midtown Management District (the District), pursuant to an Interlocal Agreement, all or a portion of the Public Safety line item portion of the Incremental Service Costs. These costs are for certain services within the District, such as homeless initiatives, private security services, clean-up and trash/debris femoval, public safety education and coordination services and Public Intoxications Transport services. The Authority spent $\$ 159,000$ in fiscal year 2017 on these services.
- In fiscal year 2010, the Authority and Houston Community Gollege System (HCCS) entered into an Interlocal Agreement relating to, among other things, HCCS's participation in the Zone and the Authority's agreement to allocate certain of these funds for public improvements, including the Authority's contribution of up to $\$ 5$ million toward the design and construction of sidewalk and streetscape improvements for certain blocks near the HCCS central campus within the District. The three HCCS projects are Holman Street (Academic Walk), Carolinestreet from Elgin to Holman and Alabama Street (not yet scheduled), each of which are major pedestrian corridors with connections to the light rail transit system and HCCS's main campus. The Holman Project is expected to be completed in 2017 and cost approximately $\$ 5.4$ million. The Caroline-Street Project is projected to cost approximately $\$ 13$ million, of which the Authority has to date invested approximately $\$ 8.5$ million, and $\$ 4$ million of which will be funded by a Teras Department of Transportation grant. The balance of the costs will be funded by funds on hand. Construction is expected oo begin in late 2017.
- The Authority was awarded Federal Transit Administration (FTA) grant funds for the Holman Street Project in the amount of $\$ 1.6$ million and $\$ 2.7$ million for its Main Street Project. In fiscal year 2017, the Authority incurred and requested reimbursement from FTA totaling $\$ 982,264$ for the balance of the Holman Street construction project. Construction began on the Main Street Project in July 2016 for approximately $\$ 8.4$ million, of which the Authority requested reimbursement in the amount of \$1,309,542 in fiscal year 2017.
- The Authority continued to fulfill its obligation to provide affordable housing by adopting an affordable housing strategy to assemble land within a targeted area of the City and to develop affordable housing units on such land. in fiscal year 2017, pursuant to its affordable housing land banking program, the Authority acquired approximately 21 acres of land for approximately $\$ 8.9$ million in an area adjacent to Midtown and the central business district called "Third Ward". The Authority has, to date, purchased approximately 137.7 acres of property in Third Ward at a cost of approximately $\$ 48.6$ million. The Authority partners with not-for-profit and for-profit developers to stimulate affordable housing in the area, based on current market demands. As of June 2017, the Authority has acquired a number of land parcels that are ideal for Transit Oriented Development (TOD). On March 25, 2016, the Board of the Authority approved a Grant Agreement with The Center for Civic and Public Policy Improvement (CCPPI) to create a comprehensive plan for affordable housing in the targeted area, including the expansion of affordable housing and related community services and infrastructure enhancements and the sustainable funding mechanisms to support them. During fiscal year 2017, HBDI constructed and sold 5 single family affordable homes and the Authority continued granting lots to EPIC Homes and Mayberry Homes for the construction of single family affordable homes. In March 2016, the Authority agreed to sell to HOU Homes a 62,500 square feet tract of land located at 2002 Live Oak, Houston, TX 77004 for a pilot project of 31 single family townhomes priced from $\$ 170,000$ to $\$ 200,000$. In connection with the project, the
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Authority agreed to reimburse HOU Homes for certain site preparation and infrastructure costs and a certain amount for each townhouse unit sold to qualified buyers. Construction on the pilot project began in April 2017. In October 2016 the Authority's Board approved a Grant Agreement with Habitat for Humanity to grant them property at 0 Schroeder Street for construction of no fewer than 6 affordable homes for a project called "Super Build 51."

- Midtown Park (formerly known as SuperBlock), an approximately 3 acre space that includes a mixed-use development highlighted by a signature urban park including restaurant/retail space and an underground parking garage, has become the focal point for the Midtown ares and is expected be the catalyst for additional development along the Main Street Corridor. To accomplish the development of the park, the Authority entered into agreements with Camden Property Trust to construct a 400 space underground parking garage at an approximate cost of $\$ 20$ million and Nillis Construction to complete construction of Midtown Park itself. Construction was substantially completed by Januan 2017, allowing the staff to program the park for Super Bowl 2017 activities with DIs, bands, games, food trucks, video gaming zone and NFL sanctioned events.
- In August 2016, the Authority entered into a contract fon the construction of 3 Entry Portals located at Elgin at Brazos, Webster at West Gray and Brazds at $1 \omega y=45$ exit ramp for an approximate amount of $\$ 1.5$ million. The Entry Portal located at Elgin and Brazos Streets will consist of new plantings, irrigation and decorative lighting. The Entry Portal at Brazos Street and Highway 45 will include creating large berms, decorative lighting, plantings and irrigation. The final Entry Portal at Webster and W. Gray will include landscaping and a lighted steel accent wall.
- On December 30, 2046 the Authority soldves property located at 3300 Main Street to PM Realty in the amount of approximatey $\$ 6.3$ million. The old building was demolished by the purchaser in October 2016 as part of the purchase contract. The 3300 Main Street property was collateral for financing of 2805 Travis, which became part of Midtown Park. With the sale of the Main Street property, the loan to Camden Property Trust for 2805 Travis in the amount of $\$ 3,395,000$ was paid in full.
- The Authority entered into a contract in August 2016 with FordMomentum for a Community Outreach and Engagement Assessment. FordMomentum conducted interviews with key informants from the community, which helped to draft questions for a district-wide survey. The results from the survey, which ran for approximately three months, included respondents' communication preferences, priorities, organizational literacy, demographics and satisfaction. Currently the Authority is addressing these findings by creating an information highway, standardizing the contact form on the website, and increasing internal staff training.
- The Authority's Board approved participation in and funded $\$ 50,000$ of improvements to a Spark School Program Park; located at the corner of Elgin and Chenevert. The Spark Park improvements include playground equipment, fitness equipment and a basketball court that will be accessible to residents in the area.
- On November 1, 2016, the Authority's Board authorized a $\$ 50,000$ Grant Agreement with Crime Stoppers towards the construction of the first ever Crime Stoppers facility in the nation. The grant funds will be used for the development, acquisition, construction and operation of the Crime Stopper's cultural facility and rotating art exhibit located at 3001 Main Street in the Midtown District.
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- At the June 25, 2015 Board of Directors meeting the Authority approved the First Amendment of the Cultural Facilities Grant to the Midtown Arts \& Theater Center Houston (MATCH). The amendment grants MATCH an additional $\$ 300,000$ in grant funding for a total aggregate amount not to exceed $\$ 1,000,000$, which will be payable in seven increments, a portion of which is contingent on the closing of the sale of property located at 3300 Main, Houston, Texas. The Authority is current with its commitment to MATCH of the initial \$400,000 payment and \$100,000 payments in 2015, 2016 and 2017.


## OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introauction to the Authority's basic financial statements. According to the definition in the Governmental Accounting standards Board, the Authority qualifies as a special purpose government with one program-redevelopment of Midtown.

Government-wide statements report information about the Authority as a whole using accounting methods similar to those used in private-sector companies. The Statement of Net Position includes all of the Authority's assets and liabilities, with the difference between assets and liabilities presented as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in the Authority's net positionmay serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the Authority is improving or deteriorating. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for in the Statement of Activities, regardless of when cash is received or paid. The fund financial statements report information abotithe Ruthority on the modified accrual basis, which only accounts for revenues that are measurable and available within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current perrod. Adjustments are provided to reconcile the government-wide statements to the fund statements. Explanations for the reconciling items are provided as part of the financial statements.

## Statement of Net Position

The Statement of Net Position includes all assets and liabilities using the accrual basis of accounting. The following table reflects condensed information (rounded to the nearest thousand) on the Authority's net position at June 30:

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Assets |  |  |
| Cash, cash equivalents and investments | $\mathbf{5 0 , 7 1 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 5 2 7 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Tax increment receivables | $\mathbf{1 5 , 7 9 3 , 0 0 0}$ | $1,116,000$ |
| Other receivables | $\mathbf{2 , 1 3 4 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 9 2 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Property held for resale | $\mathbf{4 8 , 4 2 2 , 0 0 0}$ | $46,013,000$ |
| Capital assets, net | $\mathbf{5 6 , 7 4 1 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 , 0 7 5 , 0 0 0}$ |
|  |  |  |
| Total assets | $\mathbf{1 7 3 , 8 0 6 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1 , 0 2 3 , 0 0 0}$ |
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|  |  | 2017 |  | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts, interest and retainage payable | \$ | 8,025,000 | \$ | 5,723,000 |
| Loans and bonds payable - current |  | 23,669,000 |  | 8,133,000 |
| Loans and bonds payable - long-term |  | 101,813,000 |  | 81,033,000 |
| Total liabilities |  | 133,507,000 |  | 94,889,000 |
| Net position |  |  |  |  |
| Invested in capital assets, net of |  |  |  |  |
| Restricted |  | 3,658,000 |  | 61,452,000 |
| Unrestricted (deficit) |  | (93,502,000) |  | 38,083,000) |
| Total net position | \$ | 40,299,000 | \$ | 36,134,000 |

Trust and operating cash accounts are invested in money market funds and local government investment pools (TexSTAR and LOGIC). All investments are stated at market value or amortized cost and are allowable under the Authority's investment poficy and the Public Fupds Investment Act.

| Tax increments are based on ealendan year taxes which are then received the next fiscal year. Tax increments |
| :--- |
| or receivables at June 30 were due to the Autholity from the following: |

The Authority received tax increments outstanding at June 30, 2016 in September 2016. The Authority received tax increments outstanding at June 30, 2017, in July and August 2017. All tax increments have been collected.

Other receivables include amounts due from other entities under memos of understanding for reimbursement of shared services, federal grantors, and the Houston Technology Center. The increase from 2016 of approximately $\$ 843,000$ is related to amounts due from the Federal Transit Administration for reimbursement of costs related to the Main Street project.

The net increase in property held for resale relates to the Authority's affordable housing land banking program in the Third Ward. During fiscal year 2017, the Authority purchased approximately $\$ 9$ million in land which is restricted for affordable housing and sold/granted several properties with a cost of approximately $\$ 1.2$ million. In addition, during 2017 the Authority sold 3300 Main with a cost of approximately $\$ 5.1$ million. The net impact of these transactions was an increase to land held for resale of approximately $\$ 2.6$ million.
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The increase in capital assets net, relates to the Authority's continued construction on Midtown Park and Garage which had additions of approximately $\$ 16.1$ million in fiscal year 2017. The Authority's total longterm debt also had a net increase in fiscal year 2017 of approximately $\$ 36$ million as a result of the issuance of $\$ 39.3$ million in bonds and repayments of loans totaling $\$ 3.5$ million. See Capital Assets and Debt Administration for more information related to the Authority's capital asset and long-term debt activities.

Unrestricted net position represents that which can be used to finance day-to-day operations without the constraints established by debt covenants, enabling legislation, or other legal requirements. At June 30, 2017 and 2016, the Authority has an unrestricted deficit of $\$ 93.4$ million and $\$ 38$ million, respectively. The deficit occurs by the Authority using bond funds and loans to pay for eligble project plan costs in current years in anticipation of receiving tax increments in future years. The Authority had net position restricted for debt service in the amount of $\$ 21.1$ million and $\$ 15.7$ million at June 30,2017 and 2016 , respectively; net position restricted for affordable housing in the amount of $\$ 62.3$ million and $\$ 45.6$ milliof at June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively; and net position restricted for capital projects. the amount of $\$ 20.2$ million and $\$ 167,000$ at June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively. The majority of the Authority's net position restricted for affordable housing is invested in land held for resale totaling $\$ 48.4$ million and $\$ 40.8$ million at June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

## Statement of Activities

The Statement of Activities presents the operating results of the Authority. The following table reflects condensed information (rounded to the nearest thousand) on the Authority's operations for the years ended June 30:

|  |  | 2017 |  | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |
| Tax increments | \$ | 26,803,000 | \$ | 23,892,000 |
| Investment and other income |  | 2,885,000 |  | 1,300,000 |
| Total revenues |  | 29,688,000 |  | 25,192,000 |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Current |  | 3,008,000 |  | 2,976,000 |
| Debt service |  | 5,132,000 |  | 3,295,000 |
| Capital outlay |  | 17,383,000 |  | 8,358,000 |
| Total expenses |  | 25,523,000 |  | 14,629,000 |
| Change in net position |  | 4,165,000 |  | 10,563,000 |
| Net position - beginning of year |  | 36,134,000 |  | 25,571,000 |
| Net position - end of year | \$ | 40,299,000 | \$ | 36,134,000 |

The City, Harris County and Harris County Flood Control District (collectively the County) and HISD have agreed, subject to certain limitations, to deposit to the Tax Increment Fund established for the Authority, a certain percentage of tax collections arising from their respective taxation of the increase, if any, in the appraised value of real property located in the Zone since a designated base year. The base year for the
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original Zone is 1995, and the base year for the annexed area is 1999. HCCS began contributing to the Tax Increment Fund in 2009 (tax year 2008) upon execution of the Interlocal Agreement between the Authority, the Zone, HCCS and the City. The City remits tax increments collected by the City, County, HISD and HCCS on an annual basis. Tax increments continue to increase each year as the overall appraised value of properties within the Zone increase.

Other income includes amounts received from Federal grantors as well as amounts received from other entities as reimbursement under management agreements, lease agreements and interlocal agreements. The amounts will fluctuate annually based on current Federal grants awarded and other agreements entered into by the Authority.

Capital projects, including property purchased and held for resale, funded from bond proceeds and tax increments totaled $66 \%$ and $67 \%$ of total expenses in fiscal year 2017 and $2016_{\%}$ respectively. More detailed information about the Authority's capital projects is presented in the other information - Schedule of Operating Expenses and Capital Expenditures.

## GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

At the end of the current fiscal year, the Authority's governmental funds reported combined ending fund balances of approximately $\$ 113.3$ milion, which is approximately $\$ 28.4$ million more than the prior fiscal year primarily due to the issuance of the Series 2017 bonds. Approximately $43 \%$ of the fund balance, or $\$ 48$ million, is invested in property held and developed by the Authority or which is being held for resale and is considered non-spendable, Approximately $22 \%$ of the fund balances, or $\$ 24.5$ million, is committed or restricted by bonds to various capital prajects and development agreements entered into by the Authority. Approximately $4 \%$ of the fund balances, or $\$ 4.9$ million is assigned or available for spending at the government's discretion provided expengitures are allowable by the Authority's project plan and other legal authorities. The remainder of the fund balance is restricted to indicate that it is committed to pay debt service (approximately $\$ 21.2$ million), and to affordable housing (approximately $\$ 13.9$ million).
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## CAPITAL ASSETS

As of June 30, 2017, the Authority had approximately $\$ 56.7$ million, net of accumulated depreciation, invested in a broad range of capital assets including land, land improvements, buildings and furniture and equipment.

During 2017, the Authority neared completion of the construction of SuperBlock park (now Midtown Park) and the parking garage at Midtown Park. More detailed information abqut the Authority's capital assets is presented in the notes to the basic financial statements.

## DEBT ADMINISTRATION

In January 2017, the Authority issued Tax Increment Contract Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2017 (Series 2017) in the aggregate principal amount of $\$ 39,310,000$. Proceeds from the Series 2017 Bonds were used for the purposes of partially defeasing and refunding Serties 2011 bonds in order to restructure and align debt service; funding the reserve requirement of debt service reserve fund; paying costs of issuance; financing certain project costs; and funding affordable housing.

As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, the Authority has four series of Tax increment Contract Revenue Bonds totaling $\$ 99,750,000$ and $\$ 66,275,000$, respectively. A debt service reserve fund in the amount of $\$ 7,943,687$ has been established based on an amount equivalent to the estimated annual debt service with the inclusion of Series 2011, 2013, 2015 and 2017 bonds.

During 2017 the Authority mas advanced $\$ 2,310,274$ under a loan agreement with a Developer for the construction of the parking garage at Midtown Park. Total loans outstanding at June 30, 2017 were \$19,241,704.

The City limits the amount of debt that the Authority may incur. The current debt limitation that the City has approved for the Authority is $\$ 98$ million for the issuance of bonds and $\$ 6$ million for the issuance of notes, which are secured by tax increments paid by the City to the Authority pursuant to the Tri-Party Agreement.

Additional information on the Authority's long term debt can be found in the notes to the basic financial statements.

## GENERAL FUND BUDGETARY HIGHLIGHTS

The fiscal year 2017 budget was approved by the City for the Authority. The Authority's annual budget was not amended during the year. Actual tax increments recorded by the Authority will be less than budgeted revenues each year because the Authority's adopted budget is based on gross tax increments to be remitted to the Zone. Included in the approved fiscal year 2017 budget was approximately $\$ 5$ million of tax increments for educational facilities, $\$ 1$ million for affordable housing transfer to the County and $\$ 808,000$ for administrative fees which are budgeted as other interfund transfers. These funds are withheld by the City and paid directly to the City or paid back to HISD and to the County.
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## FUTURE PROJECTS

At its June 2016 meeting, the Authority approved Development Agreements with Morgan Group Brazos/Rosalie, LLC for its Pearl Residences and Pearl Rosemont projects, in the respective amounts of $\$ 3,800,000$ and $\$ 1,400,000$, for reimbursable public right of way improvements. The Pearl Residences will consist of approximately 263 residential units above a 40,000 square foot Whole Foods Market grocery store with 2 levels of underground parking. The Pearl Rosemont will consist of a 153 unit residential apartment. These expenses are anticipated to be budgeted in fiscal year 2020 and 2021.

The Authority continues to plan future capital improvement projects throughout the Zone consisting of street reconstruction and overlays, public right of way improvements, parkland acquisitions, and utility upgrades. The Authority's Board authorized design contracts with Vyalter P. Moore, Design Workshop and IDS Engineering for Parks and Open Spaces, Mobility and Pedestrian Improvements and Brazos Street Reconstruction.

Efforts continue to solicit grants from the Federal Transit Administration, The Texas Commission on the Arts, Houston Galveston Area Council and Texas Department of Transportation to allow the implementation of the capital improvements for large scale public right of way infastarcture, green space improvements, transit oriented development and works of art

At the May 2017 meeting, a Grant Agreement was approved for the Museum of Fine Arts, Houston for an interactive fountain and reflecting pool along with related waterline replacement for an amount not to exceed $\$ 1,600,000$. It is expected this Grame will be budgeted in the amounts $\$ 750,000$ and $\$ 850,000$, respectfully, in fiscal years 2020 and 202

Affordable housing land banking acquisitions will continue as the Authority works to execute its affordable housing strategy to stabilize the historic urban neighborhood of Third Ward. The Authority, with assistance from CCPPI, continues to advance different programs and plans to stimulate and preserve the development of affordable housing in the area.

The Board has authorized its attorneys to draft a Development Agreement with Mid-Main Lofts for public right of way improvements for a reimbursement amount not to exceed $\$ 215,026$ for their project along Travis and Winbern Streets. Mid-Main Loft is a mixed use project with residential apartments, retail space and parking garage. The project's public improvements include landscaping, benches, trash receptacles, bike racks and irrigation.

The Authority's budget for fiscal year 2018 has not been approved by the City's City Council; therefore the Authority will continue to operate under its approved budget for fiscal year 2017 pursuant to_Section VI of the Tri-Party Agreement between the City, the Authority and the Zone, which states "In the event that the Zone Board or the City Council fails or refuses to approve the proposed Budget of the Authority for the ensuing year by July 1 of that year, the Authority may continue to operate on the Budget for the previous fiscal year for a period not to exceed twelve (12) months." When approved, the fiscal year 2018 budget will include the design and implementation of capital improvement projects, affordable housing projects and land banking, administration costs and the Municipal Service Fee. For fiscal year 2018 the City has requested, and the Authority budgeted, a Municipal Service Fee for incremental service to the City of Houston in the amount of $\$ 781,263$ with an additional $\$ 492,737$ of funds that will be used at the Authority's recommendation for quality of life, homelessness and safety issues within the Zone.
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This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the Midtown Redevelopment Authority's finances for all those with an interest in the government's finances and to show the Authority's accountability for the money it receives. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional information should be addressed to Mathias Thibodeaux, Executive Director, 410 Pierce Street, Suite 355, Houston, Texas 77002.


| June 30, 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | General Fund |  | Infrastrucure and Project Fund | Capital <br> Projects <br> Fund |  | ffordable Housing Fend |  | Debt <br> Service fund |  | Total |  | Adjustments |  | tatement of Net Position |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 1,491,316 | \$ | 123,926 | \$ |  | 37,873 |  | 10,864953 | \$ | 12,518,068 | \$ | \$ - | \$ | 12,518,068 |
| Investments |  | 1,381,269 |  | . | 20,787,640 |  |  |  | 8,079,944 |  | 38,198,217 |  | - |  | 38,198,217 |
| Tax increment recelvables |  | 15,793,450 |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  | 15,793,450 |  | - |  | 15,793,450 |
| Other recelvables |  | 2,134,215 |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  | 2,134,215 |  | $\bullet$ |  | 2,134,215 |
| Due to/from other funds |  | $(16,611,753)$ |  | 6,936,475 |  |  | 437,9 |  | 2,237,303 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Property held for resale |  | - |  | . |  |  | 12, 087 |  | - |  | 48,422,087 |  | - |  | 48,422,087 |
| Capital assets, net |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | . |  | 56,740,664 |  | 56,740,664 |
| Total assets |  | 4,188,497 | \$ | 7,060,40 | 2,787,640 |  | 63,847,299 |  | 21,182,200 | \$ | 117,066,037 |  | 56,740,664 | \$ | 173,806,701 |
| Labilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 192,818 | \$ | 0363727 | 591,825 | \$ | 1,567,426 | \$ | \$ | \$ | 3,388,796 | \$ | - |  | 3,388,796 |
| Interest payable |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2,258,533 |  | 2,258,533 |
| Retainage payable |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 2,378,100 |  | 2,378,100 |
| Unearned revenue |  | 384,443 |  |  | - |  | - |  | $\cdot$ |  | 384,443 |  | $(384,443)$ |  | . |
| Loans payable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due within one year |  | - |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 17,863,544 |  | 17,863,544 |
| Due after one year |  | * |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,378,160 |  | 1,378,160 |
| Bonds payable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due within one year |  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 5,805,000 |  | 5,805,000 |
| Due after one year |  | - |  | . | . |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 100,435,085 |  | 100,435,085 |
| Total liabillties |  | 577,261 |  | 1,036,727 | 591,825 |  | 1,567,426 |  | - |  | 3,773,239 |  | 129,733,979 |  | 133,507,218 |
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Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:

Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore are not reported in the funds Other revenues are not available for current period expenditures therefore are deferred in the funds
Certain liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported in the funds $(2,378,100)$
Long-term liabiliaties and related interest are not due and payable, ht the current period and therefore are not reported in the funds
Tax increment revenue bonds and related interest are not due anc payable in the current period and therefore are not reported in the funds $(108,498,618)$ Changes in Fund Balances and Statement of Activities
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 (Continued)| Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures while governmental activities report depreciation expense to allocate those expendituers over the life of the assets: |  |  |
| Capital additions |  | 13,555,043 |
| Depreciation expense |  | $(483,656)$ |
| The issuance of long-term debt provides current financial resources in the goverwhental funds, |  |  |
| resources of governmental funds. |  |  |
| Issuance of note payable |  | $(2,310,826)$ |
| Issuance of refunding bonds including bond premium, net of paymentsto escrow a |  | $(42,420,215)$ |
| Repayment of bond and loan principal |  | 8,132,728 |
| Governmental funds report the effect of premiums, discounts, and similarltems when debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are deferred and amarized in the stateriemt of activities |  | 318,488 |
| Other revenues in the statement of activities that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenues in the funds |  | $(1,149,912)$ |
| Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do noteqquire the use of current financial resources and, therefore, are hot reported as expenditures in governmental funds |  | 80,820 |
| Change in net position of governmental activ | \$ | 4,164,846 |
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## NOTE 1: DESCRIPTION OF ORGANIZATION

Midtown Redevelopment Authority (the Authority) is a public not-for-profit local government corporation, incorporated July 11, 1995 under the laws of the State of Texas, and operating under Chapter 431, Texas Transportation Code. The Authority was authorized by the City of Houston (the City) on June 28, 1995 to aid, assist and act on the behalf of the City in the performance of the City's obligations with respect to Reinvestment Zone Number Two, City of Houston, Texas (Midtown TIRZ or Zone).

City of Houston Reinvestment Zone Number Two
Midtown TIRZ was created on December 14, 1994, under Chapter 31, Texas Tax Code (TIF Act), by the City, as a tax incremental reinvestment zone (TIRZ). The Midtown TIRZoriginally consisted of 356 acres of the area known as Midtown. Of this total, 203 acres were designated for redevelopment during the life of the Midtown TIRZ (original area/Part A). In 1997, the City approved the addition opapproximately 108 acres of land contiguous to the original zone (expanded area/Part B). In 1999, the City approved an additional six parcels of land, totaling approximately 153 acres that are contiguous to the original zone (expanded area/Part C) and in 2009, the City approved the eflargement of the Midtown TIRZ to include additional tracts of land immediately adjacent to the existing Zone which designated the Cultural District and the related facilities (expanded area/Part D). Part D consists of publiclyowned land and is not presently taxed. Midtown TIRZ is authorized to provide new capital for public works and public improvements in Midtown. Midtown TIRZ provides a source of funding through the tax increments generated by redevelopment of the Midtown area. The area known as Midtown is generally located between the central business district of the City and the Texas Medical Center.

NOTE 2: SUMMARY OF SIGNIEICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## Reporting Entity

As required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, these basic financial statements represent all the funds of the Midtown Redevelopment Authority. The Authority is a component unit of the City of Houston, Texas. Component units are legally separate entities for which the primary government is financially accountable.

## Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

## Government-Wide Financial Statement

The statement of net position and the statement of activities display information about the reporting government as a whole. These statements are prepared on the "economic resources" measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Accordingly, all of the Authority's assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources are included in the accompanying Statement of Net Position. The Statement of Activities presents changes in net position. Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recorded when the liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of the related cash flow. Annual assessments are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Expenses are recorded when liabilities are incurred.
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## NOTE 2: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Government-wide statements distinguish between governmental-type and business-type activities. Governmental activities are those financed through taxes, intergovernmental revenues, and other nonexchange revenues and are usually reported in governmental and internal service funds. Business activities are financed in whole or in part through fees charged for goods or services to the general public and are usually reported in proprietary funds. The Authority does not have any business-type activities.

Under the government-wide financial statements, net position is classifiedinto three components as follows:
Invested in Capital Assets, Net of Related Debt - This component of net position consists of capital assets, including restricted capital assets, net of accumulated deprecation andreduced by outstanding balances of any bonds, notes or other borrowings.

Restricted - This component of net position consists of that on which constraints have been placed through external constraints imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments or constraints imposed by law through contractual provisions or enabling legislation.

Unrestricted - This component of net position consists of that which does not meet the definition of "Restricted" or "Invested in Capital Assets, Net of Related Debe".

## Fund Financial Statements

The accounting and financial reporting treatment applied to a fund is determined by its measurement focus. All governmental funds are arcounted for using a current financial resources measurement focus and have been prepared using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when susceptible to accrual (i.e., when they are "measurable and available"). "Measurable" means the amount of the transaction that can be determined and "available" means collectible within the currentperiod or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. The Authority considers all revenue available if it is collected within 60 days after the year-end. Expenditures are recorded when the related fund liability is incurred as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures as well as expenditures related to claims and judgments are recorded only when payment is due.

## Fund Accounting

The Authority uses funds to report on its financial position and the results of its operations. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions relating to certain government functions or activities. A fund is an accountability unit used to maintain control over resources segregated for specific activities or objectives. The funds the Authority uses are described below:

General Fund - The general fund is the general operating fund of the Authority. It accounts for all activities except those accounted for in other funds.

Infrastructure and Project Fund - The infrastructure and project fund accounts for the construction of Authority capital projects from tax increments.

Capital Projects Fund - The capital projects fund accounts for the construction of Authority projects funded with bond proceeds.
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## NOTE 2: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Affordable Housing Fund - The affordable housing fund accounts for the accumulation of financial resources for the payment of affordable housing projects.
Debt Service Fund - The debt service fund accounts for the accumulation of financial resources for the payment of principal and interest on bonds issued by the Authority. Tax increments are used for the payment of principal and interest.

## Fund Balance Classification

The governmental fund financial statements present fund balances based on classifications that comprise a hierarchy that is based primarily on the extent to which the Autwority is Dound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which amounts in the respective governmental funds can be spent. The classifications used in the governmental fund financial statements are asfolows:

Nonspendable - amounts that cannot be spent either because they are in nonspendable form or because they are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact. The Authority has classified properties held for resale as being nonspendable as these items are not expected to be converted to cash or are not expected to be converted to cash within thenext year.

Restricted - amounts for which constraints have been placed on the use of the resources either (a) externally imposed by creditors (such as through debt covenant), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments, or (b) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. Debt serviceresources are to be used for future servicing of the tax increment contract revenue bonds and are restricted through debt covenants. Capital Projects resources are also restricted through debt covenants and affordable housing resources are restricted both through debt covenants and enabling legislation.

Committed - amounts that can be used only for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by formal action of the Authority's Board of Directors. These amounts cannot be used for any other purpose unless the Board of Directors removes or changes the specified use by taking the same type of action (resolution) that was employed when the funds were initially committed. This classification also includes contractual obligations to the extent that existing resources have been specifically committed for use in satisfying those contractual requirements.

Assigned - This classification includes amounts that are constrained by the Authority's intent to be used for a specific purpose but are neither restricted nor committed. This intent can be expressed by the Board of Directors or through the Board of Directors delegating this responsibility to the Authority's Executive Director or through the budgetary process. This classification also includes the remaining positive fund balance for all governmental funds except for the General Fund.

Unassigned - This classification includes the residual fund balance for the General Fund.
The Authority would typically use restricted fund balances first, followed by committed resources, and then assigned resources, as appropriate opportunities arise, but reserves the right to selectively spend unassigned resources first to defer the use of these other classified funds.

# Midtown Redevelopment Authority Notes to Financial Statements 

## NOTE 2: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

## Tax Increments and Participation Agreements

The City, Harris County and Harris County Flood Control District (collectively the County), Houston Independent School District (HISD) and Houston Community College System (HCCS) (each a Participant) has agreed to deposit to the Tax Increment Fund established for the Midtown TIRZ (the Tax Increment Fund) a certain percentage of tax collections arising from their taxation of the increase, if any, in the appraised value of real property located in the Midtown TIRZ since January 1, 1995 for the original area and January 1, 1999 for the annexed area (the Tax Increments).

Each Participant is required to collect taxes on real property located within the Midtown TIRZ in the same manner as other taxes are collected by the Participant. The Participant is then required to pay into the Tax Increment Fund the Tax Increments, as agreed upon in aecordancewith such participant's agreement with the City and the Midtown TIRZ (collectively, the Participation Agreements) by no later than the 90th day after the delinquency date for the Participant's property taxes. Thas, Jax Increments are due to be deposited in the Tax Increment Fund on May 1. The City has agreed to pay 100\% of their Tax Increments to the Increment Fund. The County has agreed to pay $100 \%$ of the coliected Tax morement to the Increment Fund, but participates in the original area of the Zone only. The city ketains an administrative fee from the tax increments deposited in the Tax Increment Fund.

The County does not include in its Tax ncrements taxes collected by the County for the Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas, the Harns County Hospital District, or the Harris County Department of Education, but does include Harris County Flood Control District. HISD has agreed to pay collected Tax Increment arising from the Original Zone based on its then current tax rate and from the annexed areas based on a tax rate of $\$ 0.96$ per $\$ 100$ of value.

The First Amendment of the interbeg, agreement between the City, HISD and the Midtown TIRZ amends HISD participation and provides for provision of tax increment funds for the payment of education facilities project costs due to the annexation of additional area approved by the City of Houston Ordinance No. 1999849 (annexed area). For the original area the HISD tax increment participation is the amount of taxes collected by HISD each year by levying a tax on property in the original area at the then current tax rate per $\$ 100$ valuation of the Captured Appraised Value. One third of the Tax Increment is attributable to affordable housing. Of the remaining two-thirds of the tax increment participation attributable to the original area: (a) beginning in the tax year commencing January 1,2000 , up to $\$ 1,200,000$ of taxes collected by HISD by levying a tax at a tax rate of $\$ 0.64$ per $\$ 100$ valuation on the Captured Appraised Value shall be for the payment of educational facilities project costs, (b) the amount of taxes collected by HISD by levying a tax at a tax rate of $\$ 0.64$ per $\$ 100$ valuation on the Captured Appraised Value in excess of $\$ 1,200,000$ shall be applied to the payment of non-educational facilities project costs, including administrative costs, and school support expenses, and (c) the remaining portion of the HISD tax increment participation attributable to the original area shall be for the payment of educational facilities project costs. HISD tax increment participation in the annexed area is the amount of taxes collected by HISD each year by levying at a tax rate of $\$ 0.96$ cents per $\$ 100$ of valuation on the Captured Appraised Value. One third of the Tax Increment is attributable for affordable housing, one-third for educational facilities project and one-third for non-educational facilities projects costs, including administrative costs and school support expenses. Under the provisions of the HISD interlocal agreement, taxes collected by HISD in any year on actual Captured Appraised Value that exceeds the estimate of Captured Appraised Value for that year shown in the Project Plan approved before September 1, 1999, shall be retained by HISD.
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## NOTE 2: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Beginning with tax year 2008, HCCS began to contribute 100\% of the Tax Increments attributable to HCCS into the Tax Increment Fund. HCCS is not obligated to pay Tax Increments from any other source other than taxes collected on the Captured Appraised Value from the portion of taxes levied by HCCS for maintenance and operations. Initially, two-thirds of the HCCS Tax Increment, up to $\$ 5,000,000$, will be applied to project costs associated with streetscape improvements to the block faces that are contiguous to HCCS central campus. Thereafter, one-third of the HCCS Tax Increments will be applied to project costs in the general vicinity of HCCS central campus, one-third to any other eligible project costs, and the remaining one-third to affordable housing.

The Authority is dependent upon the Tax Increments. Default by any of the governmental entities involved in the Zone would impact the Authority's ability to repay its outstanding bonds, note and other obligations.

## Affordable Housing

The TIF Act under which the Midtown TIRZ presently operates requires that one-third of the Tax Increments be dedicated to providing affordable housing during the teem of the Midtown TIRZ. The Authority agreed to this covenant in the Bond Resolution and Tri-Party Agreement and the Authority will continually comply with the requirements in the TIF Act, if any, relating to the provision of affordable housing during the term of the Midtown TIRZ.

The Tri-Party Agreement requires that any portion of the affordable housing component of Tax Increment, including interest, or bond proceeds derived from such increments, paid to the Authority that remains unexpended or uncommitted at the end of twelve months after being received by the Authority will, upon request, be paid to the City or their affordable housing program. Under the amended agreement between the Authority and the County, the County is entitled to $19 \%$ of the annual affordable housing reserve, which is due and payable immediately upon he Authority's receipt of the necessary funds.

## Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments

Cash and cash equivalents and investments consist of demand and time deposits, money market investments in U.S. Government Securities, and funds maintained in public funds investment pools.

Investments of the Authority consist of Texas Short Term Asset Reserve Program (TexSTAR) and Local Government Investment Cooperative (LOGIC), both local government investment pools created under the Interlocal Cooperation Act, Chapter 791, Texas Government Code, and the Public Funds Investment Act, Chapter 2256, Texas Government Code. TexSTAR and LOGIC are administered by First Southwest Asset Management, Inc. and JPMorgan Chase Asset Management, Inc. The fair value of TexSTAR and LOGIC is based on quoted market values of the underlying investments of the pool and/or fund. TexSTAR and LOGIC use amortized cost to value portfolio assets and follow the criteria for GASB Statement No. 79 for use of amortized cost.

## NOTE 2: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

## Due to and Due from Other Funds

Interfund receivable and payables arise from interfund transactions and are recorded by all funds affected in the period in which transactions are executed. These receivables and payables are eliminated in the Statement of Net Position.

## Property Held for Resale

Property held for resale consists of properties that the Authority bas acquired for affordable housing and other properties held for future mixed-use development and are not used in the Authority's operations. The Authority intends to sell, lease or otherwise convey the properties to third parties for future development. Any properties sold, leased or otherwise conveyed by the Authority, selated to affordable housing, will have a deed restriction to ensure the properties will be used only for affordable housing.

## Capital Assets

In the government-wide financial statements, capital ossets are valled at cost, except for donated assets that are recorded at their estimated fair value at the date of donation. Interest during construction is capitalized, if material. Total interest incurred for the year ended June 30,2017 was $\$ 4,643,814$. Of this amount $\$ 749,436$ was capitalized as a component of capitabassets constructed during the year and $\$ 3,894,378$ was charged to expense.

Depreciation of capital assets is computed and recorded by the straight-line method. Estimated useful lives of the various classes of depreciable capital assets are as follows: building and improvements, 20 to 35 years; equipment, 3 to 5 years.

In the fund financial statements, fixed assets used in governmental fund operations are accounted for as capital outlay expenditures of the appropriate governmental fund upon acquisition.

## Debt and Related Premiums, Discounts, and Issuance Costs

Debt consists of tax increment contract revenue bonds, loans with a financial institution, and loans with a developer.

In the government-wide financial statements, bond premiums and discounts are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the straight-line method. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount. Bond issuance costs are expensed as incurred.

In governmental fund financial statements, bond premiums, discounts and issuance costs are recognized in the current period. The face amount of the debt is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuance are reported as other financing sources while discounts are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs are reported as debt issuance expenditures.
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## NOTE 2: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

## Deferred Inflows of Resources

In addition to liabilities, the governmental funds Balance Sheet will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. The Authority has only one type of item, which arises only under the modified accrual basis of accounting that qualifies for reporting in this category. Accordingly, deferred tax increment revenue is only reported in the governmental funds balance sheet. These amounts are deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources in the period tre amounts become available.

## Internal Transfers

Transfers are used to move revenues from the fund that statute or budget requires to collect them to the fund that statute or budget requires to expend them and to move unrestricted revenue collected in the general fund to finance various programs accounted for in other funds in accordance with budgetary authorizations and actions of the Board of Directors.

## Federal Income Tax

The Authority is exempt from Federat income taxes under section 501(a) as an organization described in Section 501(c) 3 of the Internal Revenue Code. Furthermore, the Internal Revenue Service has ruled that the Authority is a publicly-supported organization and is not a private foundation. Under the provisions of Internal Revenue Procedure 95-48, the Authoriey is not required to file public information returns on Form 990.

## Use of Estimates

The preparation of the Authority's financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the Authority's management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The Authority's management believes these estimates and assumptions provide a reasonable basis for the fair presentation of the financial statements.

## NOTE 3: AUTHORIZED INVESTMENTS

The Board of Directors has adopted and continues to amend and/or ratify annually a written investment policy regarding the investments of its funds as defined in the Public Funds Investment Act of 1997 (Chapter 2256, Texas Government Code). Such investments include (1) obligations of the United States or its agencies; (2) direct obligations of the State of Texas or its agencies; (3) other obligations, the principal and interest of which are unconditionally guaranteed or insured by or backed by the full faith and credit of the State of Texas or the United States or their respective agencies; (4) certificates of deposit; (5) local government investment pools; and (6) various other items that comply with the Public Funds Investment Act.

## Midtown Redevelopment Authority Notes to Financial Statements

## NOTE 4: DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

Custodial credit risk for deposits with financial institutions is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Authority's deposits may not be returned to it. At June 30, 2017, the carrying amount of the Authority's deposits was $\$ 12,518,068$ and the bank balances totaled $\$ 14,931,754$. The Authority's deposits in excess of FDIC insurance totaling $\$ 3,801,359$ were adequately collateralized. Cash deposits totaling $\$ 10,880,417$, which approximate fair value, represent money market investments in U.S. Government Securities. In addition, the Authority held $\$ 38,198,217$ in investment pools at June 30,2017 , which mature in less than one year.

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in the interest rates
will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. In accordance with the Authority's Investment Policy, the Authority limits its exposure to interest rate risk by structuring its portfolio to provide safety and liquidity of funds while maximizing yields for operating funds not immediately needed. The investment poligy limits the maximum maturity of any investment to three (3) years.

Concentration of credit risk is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of investment in a single issuer. The Authority's investment policy does not limit the amount of funds that may be invested in any authorized investment.

Investments that are obligations of of guaranteed by the U.S. Government do not require disclosure of credit quality. The Authority's investment in the TexsTAR and LOGIC fund is rated AAA by Standard and Poor's and maintains a weighted average maturity of 60 days or fess, with a maximum weighted average maturity of 13 months for any individual security. The Authonity considers the investments in TexSTAR and LOGIC to have maturities of less than one year due the fact the share position can usually be redeemed each day at the discretion of the Authority, unless there has been a significant change in value.

## NOTE 5: RESTRICTED ASSETS

Certain amounts of cash, cash equivalents and investments are restricted by revenue bond ordinances or enabling legislation. A summary of these restricted assets at June 30, 2017 are as follows:

|  |  | Cash and Cash Equivalents |  | Investments |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trustee funds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debt service | \$ | 10,864,953 | \$ | - | \$ | 10,864,953 |
| Debt service reserve fund |  | - |  | 8,079,944 |  | 8,079,944 |
| Project fund |  | - |  | 20,787,640 |  | 20,787,640 |
| Affordable housing |  | 369 |  | 7,945,072 |  | 7,945,441 |
| Total trustee funds |  | 10,865,322 |  | 36,812,656 |  | 47,677,978 |
| Enabling legislation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Affordable housing |  | 37,504 |  | 4,292 |  | 41,796 |
| Total restricted assets | \$ | 10,902,826 | \$ | 36,816,948 | \$ | 47,719,774 |

## Midtown Redevelopment Authority Notes to Financial Statements

## NOTE 6: CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2017 was as follows:

|  |  | Balance <br> at <br> July 1, 2016 | Additions | Reclassifications |  | Balance <br> at une 30, 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-depreciable assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land and improvements | \$ | 12,945,898 |  |  |  | 12,945,898 |
| Construction in process |  | 23,427,186 | 16,149 |  |  | 39,576,222 |
| Depreciable assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buildings and improvements |  | 8,855,381 |  |  |  | 8,855,381 |
| Equipment |  | 58,378 |  | - |  | 58,378 |
| Total capital assets |  | 45,286,8 | 16,149, | - |  | 61,435,879 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation |  | $(4,211,559)$ | (483,656 | - |  | $(4,695,215)$ |
| Government activities capital assets, net |  | 075,284 | 15,665,380 | \$ | \$ | 56,740,664 |

All property and equipment purchased by the Authority shall be the property of the Authority until the Zone is terminated. If the infrastrusture is integrated in and used as a part of the City's infrastructure, it may be conveyed to the City.

## NOTE 7: LOANS PAYABLE

A summary of changes in the Authority's loans payable follows:

| Balance at July 1, 2016 | $\$ 20,428,606$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Additions | $2,310,826$ |
| Retirements | $(3,497,728)$ |

Balance at June 30, 2017
$\$ 19,241,704$

Current Portion, long-term Debt
\$ 17,863,544

## Midtown Redevelopment Authority Notes to Financial Statements

## NOTE 7: LOANS PAYABLE (Continued)

In November 2013, and amended in March 2017, the Authority entered into a Development Agreement with 2800 Main, L.L.C. (the Developer) for reimbursement of up to $\$ 19$ million of eligible project costs related to the Garage Structure for Midtown Park. Commencement of construction began in April 2015 and completion of the project is anticipated to occur by early 2018. During the period from the commencement of construction through completion, interest on each developer advance shall accrue at an interest rate of $4 \%$ per annum from the date of the advance and is payable on January 1 and July 1 in accordance with the terms of the agreement. Upon completion of the Garage Structure, reimbursement to the Developer will begin on October 1 of the year following completion and is payable over a period of 13 years. The interest rate increases to $7 \%$ per annum after the date of completion until fullrepayment is made on the advance. Reimbursement to the Developer will be made from available tax increments as defined in the agreement. As of June 30, 2017, advances totaled $\$ 17,760,642$ and have been included as a loan payable in the Statement of Net Assets. Advances made during the year ended June 30, 2017 of $\$ 2,310,826$ have been included as other financing sources in the Infrastructure and Project Fund

In September 2013, the Authority refinanced a $\$ 1,843,593$ loan with a bank which was originally used to purchase the Houston Museum of African American Culture land and building. The refinanced loan bears interest at $4.0 \%$, requires monthly principal and interest payments totaling $\$ 13,690$ and matures September 30, 2020. A balloon payment of $\$ 1,127,681$ is payable at maturity. The loan is secured by 4807 Caroline Street property. At June 30, 2017, the outstanding balance on the loan totaled $\$ 1,480,062$.

In September 2012, the Authority-purchased property for the development of a park for $\$ 3,395,000$. The Authority financed the acquisition with a promissory note with a Developer. This note was paid off in December 2016.

Future minimum payments as of lune 30 are as follows:

Fiscal Year Ending

| 2017 | $\$ 17,863,544$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2018 | 111,458 |
| 2019 | 115,999 |
| 2020 | $1,150,703$ |

Total
\$ 19,241,704
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## NOTE 8: TAX INCREMENT CONTRACT REVENUE BONDS

A summary of changes in tax increment contract revenue bonds follows:


In August, 2011, the Authority issued Tax Increment Contract Revenue and Refunding Bonds Series 2011 (the 2011 Bonds) in the aggregate principal amount of $\$ 33,130,000$. The refunding was undertaken to reduce total debt service payments over the next 10 years by $\$ 1,329,304$ and resulted in an economic gain of $\$ 1,046,155$. The 2011 Bonds mature serially January 1, in each year 2012 through 2033. The 2011 Bonds are callable in whole or in part any date beginning January 1, 2021 at par. The 2011 Bonds bear interest between $2.0 \%$ and $5.375 \%$ annually and have semi-annual interest payments due on January 1 and July 1 as follows:

NOTE 8: TAX INCREMENT CONTRACT REVENUE BONDS (Continued)

| Fiscal Year | Principal | Interest | Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2018 | $\$$ | $1,995,000$ | $\$$ | $1,005,325$ | $\$$ |
| 2019 |  | $2,110,000$ | 893,888 | $3,003,888$ |  |
| 2020 | $1,840,000$ | 784,438 | $2,624,438$ |  |  |
| 2021 | $1,525,000$ | 687,838 | $2,212,838$ |  |  |
| 2022 | $2,010,009$ | 630,988 | $2,640,988$ |  |  |
| $2023-2027$ | $4,290,000$ | $2,358,139$ | $6,648,139$ |  |  |
| $2028-2032$ | $5,435,000$ | $1,211,843$ | $6,646,843$ |  |  |
| 2033 | $1,260,000$ | 67,725 | $1,327,725$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 20,465,000$ | $\$$ | $7,640,184$ | $\$ 28,105,184$ |  |

In November 2013, the Authority issued Tax Increment Contract Reyenue and Refunding Bonds Series 2013 (the 2013 Bonds) in the aggregate principal amount of $\$ 32,280,000$. The 2013 Bonds mature serially January 1, in each year 2015 through 2033. The 2013 Bonds are callable in whole or in part any date beginning January 1, 2032 at par. The 2013 Bonds bearinterest between $2.0 \%$ and $5.0 \%$ annually and have semi-annual interest payments due on January 1 and July 1 as follows.

| Fiscal Year | Principal |  | Interest |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | \$ | 2,020,000 | \$ | 1,383,869 | \$ | 3,403,869 |
| 2019 |  | 2,125,000 |  | 1,282,869 |  | 3,407,869 |
| 2020 |  | 1,335,000 |  | 1,176,619 |  | 2,511,619 |
| 2021 |  | 15,000 |  | 1,109,869 |  | 1,124,869 |
| 2022 |  | 50,000 |  | 1,109,419 |  | 1,159,419 |
| 2023-2027 |  | 4,785,000 |  | 5,431,300 |  | 10,216,300 |
| 2028-2032 |  | 13,910,000 |  | 3,033,976 |  | 16,943,976 |
| 2033 |  | 3,230,000 |  | 161,500 |  | 3,391,500 |

Total
\$ 27,470,000 \$ 14,689,421 \$ 42,159,421

In January 2015, the Authority issued Tax Increment Contract Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2015 (the 2015 Bonds) in the aggregate principal amount of $\$ 13,705,000$. The refunding was undertaken to refund the Tax Increment Contract Revenue Bonds Series 2005 (Series 2005) that was partially refunded with Series 2013 bonds as of June 30, 2014. Debt service on the refunded bonds of Series 2005 was paid in full on January 2, 2015. The Authority achieved a cash flow savings and an economic gain of $\$ 1,332,618$ as a result of the refunding.

The 2015 Bonds mature serially January 1, in each year 2016 through 2025. The 2015 Bonds are callable in whole or in part any date beginning January 1, 2025 at par. The 2015 Bonds bear interest between $2.0 \%$ and $5.0 \%$ annually and have semi-annual interest payments due on January 1 and July 1 as follows:

NOTE 8: TAX INCREMENT CONTRACT REVENUE BONDS (Continued)

| Fiscal Year | Principal | Interest | Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2018 | $\$$ | 605,000 | $\$$ | 491,488 | $\$$ |
| 2019 |  | 620,000 | 473,338 | $1,093,338$ |  |
| 2020 |  | $1,435,000$ | 448,538 | $1,883,538$ |  |
| 2021 | $1,090,000$ | 391,138 | $1,481,138$ |  |  |
| 2022 | $1,120,000$ | 336,638 | $1,456,638$ |  |  |
| $2023-2025$ | $7,635,000$ | 705,488 | $8,340,488$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$, \mathbf{1 2 , 5 0 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$}$ | $2,846,628$ | $\$ 15,351,628$ |  |

In January 2017, the Authority issued Tax Increment Contract Revehue Refunding Bonds Series 2017 (the 2017 Bonds) in the aggregate principal amount of $\$ 39,310,000$. The refunding was undertaken to obtain new money and partially refund the Tax Increment Contract Revenue Bonds Series 2011 (Series 2011). The Authority achieved a cash flow savings and an economiegain of $\$ 42,201$ as a result of the refunding.

The 2017 Bonds mature serially January 1, in each year 2018 through 2038. The 2017 Bonds are callable in whole or in part any date beginning Jamuary 1, 2034 at par. The 2017 Bonds bear interest between $3.0 \%$ and 5.0\% annually and have semi-annual frerest payments que on January 1 and July 1 as follows:

| Fiscal Year | Principal | Interest | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2018 | $\$ 1,185,000$ | $\$$ | $1,744,899$ | $\$ 2,929,899$ |
| 2019 | $1,065,000$ | $1,862,225$ | $2,927,225$ |  |
| 2020 |  | - | $1,819,625$ | $1,819,625$ |
| 2021 |  | 575,000 | $1,819,625$ | $2,394,625$ |
| 2022 | 600,000 | $1,790,875$ | $2,390,875$ |  |
| $2023-2027$ |  | $3,690,000$ | $8,445,805$ | $12,135,805$ |
| $2028-2032$ | $2,450,000$ | $7,678,425$ | $10,128,425$ |  |
| $2033-2037$ |  | $23,320,000$ | $5,680,075$ | $29,000,075$ |
| 2038 | $6,425,000$ | 321,250 | $6,746,250$ |  |

## Midtown Redevelopment Authority Notes to Financial Statements

## NOTE 9: FUND BALANCES - GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

As of June 30, 2017, fund balances of the governmental funds are classified as follows:


NOTE 10: TAX INCREMENT SUMMMARY

The Authority's tax increment revemue, as reflected in the Statement of Activities, was received from the following Participants:

|  | Gross <br> Increment | Transfers | Net <br> Increment |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| City of Houston | $\$ 10,417,836$ | $\$$ | $(520,892)$ | $\$ 9,896,944$ |
| Houston Independent School |  |  |  |  |
| District (Tax Year 2016 Set Aside) | $3,338,118$ | $(2,225,412)$ | $1,112,706$ |  |
| Houston Independent School District | $11,326,662$ | $(3,014,624)$ | $8,312,038$ |  |
| Harris County | $6,482,848$ | $(324,141)$ | $6,158,707$ |  |
| Houston Community College System | $1,347,706$ | $(25,000)$ | $1,322,706$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $32,913,170$ | $\$(6,110,069)$ | $\$ 26,803,101$ |

# Midtown Redevelopment Authority Notes to Financial Statements 

## NOTE 10: TAX INCREMENT SUMMARY (Continued)

The Authority's tax increment revenue, as reflected in the governmental funds, was received from the following Participants:


The Authority is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts, theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; personal injuries; and natural disasters. The risk of loss is covered by commercial insurance. There have been ho significant reductions in insurance coverage and no settlements.

## NOTE 12: RELATED PARTIES

The Authority has an administrative contract with the District whereby the Authority, provides administrative and management services to the District (see Note 13). The District and the Authority share the same Executive Director. The Authority provided services to the District amounting to approximately $\$ 354,000$ for the year ended June 30, 2017. At June 30, 2017, approximately $\$ 182,000$ was due to the Authority under this contract and is included in other receivables in the accompanying basic financial statements.

The District is the recipient for the Federal Transit Administration grant and passes all funds through to the Authority to manage the development and construction of the FTA funded project. At December 31, 2016, eligible costs totaling $\$ 329,030$ had been incurred bhe Authority of which reimbursement and not yet been received from FTA. The amount due to the Authority is included in accounts payable in the accompanying basic financial statements (See Note 13).

The Authority's Executive Director and Board Chairman are also members of the Midtown Improvement and Development Corporation ("MIDCorp") Board of Directors. The Authority provides administrative and management services to MIDCorp under an administrative contract similar to that of the District.
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## NOTE 12: RELATED PARTIES (Continued)

The Authority provided services to MIDCorp amounting to approximately $\$ 431,000$ for the year ended June 30, 2017. At June 30, 2017, approximately $\$ 234,000$ was due to the Authority under this contract and is included in other receivables in the accompanying basic financial statements. In addition, the Authority provides funding to MIDCorp annually based on executed operating agreements. (See Note 13).

## NOTE 13: COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

## Houston Technology Center Lease Agreement

The Authority and Technology \& Entrepreneurship Center of Houston, Inc., a Fexas non-profit corporation, d/b/a Houston Technology Center (HTC) entered into a lease agreement in June 2006 related to the 402 and 410 Pierce Street Buildings. The lease agreementwas an extension and entire restatement of the original lease agreement entered into in July 2001 between these same parties. The lease commencement date for the 410 Pierce Street building was June 1, 2002 and the lease commencement date for the 402 Pierce Street building was August 16, 2006. The lease agreement expires in August 2026.

Under the lease agreement, HTC wifl pay a base rent of \$1 per year to the Authority. In addition, HTC is required to pay annual performance rent, sebject to egrain limitations and considerations as defined in the lease agreement. If HTC does not have sufficient positive cash flow for the completed lease year, then the performance rent due will be accrued. Performance rent that accrues will not bear interest. The following maximum performance rentis payable under the lease agreement.

| 1 | $\$ 74,250$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2-10$ | $\$ 100,000 /$ year |
| $11-20$ | $\$ 150,000 /$ year |

When the lease expires or if HTC ceases to operate as the "Houston Technology Center", any accrued, but unpaid, performance rent due to the Authority shall be forgiven. Due to these stipulations, no performance rent has been accrued as of June 30, 2017. HTC remitted $\$ 0$ in performance rent to the Authority during fiscal year 2017.

The Authority and HTC entered into an agreement in June 2006 for the lease of the 402 Pierce Street building (lease agreement). The lease agreement provides for HTC to reimburse the Authority $\$ 592,000$ for a portion of the build out costs incurred by the Authority on behalf of HTC. HTC will reimburse the Authority in 14 equal annual installments of $\$ 42,285$ beginning in 2012. The amount due from HTC has been recorded in the accompanying basic financial statements.

During fiscal year 2010, the Authority renewed the sub-lease agreement with HTC to lease additional office space under an operating lease arrangement which expired on November 2016 and renewed monthly. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, rent expense for the office totaled \$33,560.
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## NOTE 13: COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)

## Municipal Service Costs Agreement

The Authority, the Zone, and the City entered into an agreement whereby the Authority will pay to the City incremental costs of providing increased municipal services incurred as a result of the creation of the Zone or the development or redevelopment of the land in the Zone. Payment of the incremental service costs is from the City's Tax increment and is limited to the available Tax Increment received by the Authority as defined in the agreement and the amount included in the Authority's annual approved budget. If the City's available Tax Increment is not sufficient in any year to pay the amount included in the approved budget, the amount due will accrue without interest. The agreement renews annually

## Houston Museum of African American Culture

During fiscal year 2011, the Authority entered into an agreement yith HMAAC (or the Museum) to purchase the land and building of the Museum and then lease back the property for an original term of three years. The agreement was amended in October 2012 and again in September 2013. The lease term has been extended through November 2020 and, beginning July 1, 2014, HMAAC is required to make monthly rent payments of $\$ 13,700$. Under the terms of the agreement $\boldsymbol{H M A A C}$ has the option to purchase the property during the lease term at the amount equalto the outstanding principal and any accrued but unpaid interest on the Authority's loan for the property. HMAAC contindes to occupy the property pursuant to the terms of the amended agreement; however no rental gayments have been received by the Authority.

## Central Bank Plaza Development Agreement:

In October 2013, the Authority entered into a Development Agreement with Midtown Central Square, L.L.C. (the Developer) for reimbursement of un to $\$ 1.8$ million of eligible project costs related to the Central Bank Plaza. Reimbursement to the Developg will occur over 10 years from available tax increments, as defined in the agreement. Reimbursement commences after completion of the project by the Developer.

## Construction Contracts and Consultant Agreements

Engineering and construction contracts relating to construction-in-progress and other capital projects aggregated approximately $\$ 13$ million. These contracts will be paid in future period as work is performed. Payment will be made with proceeds from past bond issues, operating reserves, and Federal grants to be received. In addition, the Authority enters into agreements with various consultants to provide professional services each year.

## Federal Grants

As of June 30, 2017, the District had outstanding Federal grant awards of approximately $\$ 1$ million for capital improvements by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). The Federal grant funds are not available for pass-through from the District to the Authority until expenses are incurred and therefore, are not recorded as receivables. The grant expenses are subject to audit by the FTA, the purpose of which is to ensure compliance with conditions precedent to the granting funds. Any liability for reimbursement that may arise as a result of these audits is not believed to be material by management.
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## NOTE 13: COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)

At June 30, 2017, eligible costs towards FTA funded projects totaling $\$ 1,309,542$ had been incurred by the Authority of which reimbursement had not yet been received from FTA. The amount due to the Authority is included in other receivable in the accompanying basic financial statements.

## Administrative Agreement

The Authority has a memorandum of understanding with Fourth Ward Redevelopment Authority (Fourth Ward), Midtown Management District (the District), and Midtown Improvement and Development Corporation (MIDCorp) whereby the Authority provides office spaee, sertain equipment and certain staff services to Fourth Ward, MIDCorp and the District. The District and the Althority share the same executive director. The Authority provided services totaling $\$ 869,774$ in fiscal year 2017 to these entities. Such amounts are included as other income in the accompanying basic financial statements.

## Midtown Improvement and Development Corporation

The Authority and MIDCorp entered into an operating agreement effective July 1, 2015. Under this agreement, MIDCorp will operate, manage, maintain and preserve the Park Facilities pursuant to the terms of the agreement. The Park Facilities include Bagby Park, Midtown Park and the parking facility under Midtown Park. The term of the agreement is 49 years with automatic renewal and extension for two consecutive 20 year periods. Undes the agreement, the Authority will pay an annual maintenance fee of $\$ 250,000$ per year for the first two years, then in each year thereafter, an amount not to exceed $\$ 500,000$ based on MIDCorp's annual operating budget. In addition, the Authority will pay $\$ 50,000$ per year for 10 years to be applied to the Renewal and Replacement Fund.

The Museum of Fine Arts Grant Agreement
In May 2017, the Authority entered into a Grant Agreement with The Museum of Fine Arts (the Grantee) for reimbursement of up to $\$ 1.6$ million of eligible project costs for improvements to this cultural facility. Reimbursement shall be payable in two equal installments of $\$ 800,000$ at the completion of the project which is expected to be in fiscal year 2020 and 2021.


Midtown Redevelopment Authority
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Budget to Actual - All Funds

For the year ended June 30, 2017


For the year ended June 30, 2017

Explanation of differences between budgetary inflows and outflows and GAAP revenues and expenditures

| Sources/inflows of resources |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actual amounts (budgetary basis) | \$ 168,752,721 |  |
| differences - budget to GAAP: |  |  |
| The fund balance at the beginning of the year is a budgetary resource but is not a current year revenue for finacial reporting purposes | $(84,850,422)$ |  |
| Issuance of loans are reported as other financing sources | $(2,310,826)$ |  |
| Issuance of tax increment revenue and refunding bonds, net of refending, are reported as other financing sources (uses) | $(42,420,215)$ |  |
| Sales proceeds from land held for sale are not reported in the fonds, only the net gain on disposal is recorded which is included in miscellaneous revenue | $(2,198,244)$ |  |
| Budgeted revenues include HISD educational facilities transfers andcity administrative charges, while the Authority's funds report revenues net of these transters | $(6,135,070)$ |  |
| Total revenue as reported on the statement of revenues, expendicures and changes in fund balances - total governmentalfunds |  | 30,837,944 |
| Uses/outflows of resources |  |  |
| Actual amounts (budgetary basis) differences - budget to GAAP: | \$ 63,663,817 |  |
| Purchases of land held for sale are capitaliged in the governmental funds balance sheet but are included as capital expenditures for budgetary purposes | $(9,058,536)$ |  |
| Capitalized interest and retainage payable are not reflected as capital expenditures in the fund finaneial statements | $(2,581,269)$ |  |
| Costs of issuance paid upon issuance of refunding of bonds is netted against bond proceeds for budget purposes | 1,237,667 |  |
| Budgeted expenditures include HISD educational facilities transfers and city administrative charges, while the authority's funds report revenues net of these transfers |  | $(6,135,070)$ |
| Total expenditures as reported on the statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances - total sovernmental funds |  |  |

> Midtown Redevelopment Authority Schedule Operating Expenses and Capital Expenditures

| Management Consulting Services | Vendor | Budget | Actual Expenditures | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administration and Overhead: |  |  |  |  |
| Administration (Salaries, Benefits and Taxes) | n/a | \$ 283,000 | \$ 289,890 | \$ (6,890) |
| Office Expenses (2) | $n / \mathrm{a}$ | 180,000 | 165,233 | 14,767 |
| Midtown Management District | n/a | 371,000 | 354,001 | 16,999 |
| Fourth Ward Redevelopment Authority | n/a | 48,000 | 54,693 | $(6,693)$ |
| Midtown Improvement and Development Corporation | n/a | 374,000 | 431,485 | $(57,485)$ |
| Insurance | Anco-Wessendor | 33,000 | 151,468 | $(118,468)$ |
| Accounting | Bookkeepers | 70,000 | 56,800 | 13,200 |
| Accounting (2) | McConnell \& fones LLP | $\bigcirc$ - | 12,320 | $(12,320)$ |
| Auditor - Financial | Carr, Riggs \&ringram, LLC | 21,500 | 24,980 | $(3,480)$ |
| Bond Services/Trustee | First Southwest | 35,000 | 4,435 | 30,565 |
| Total Administration and Overhead |  | 1,415,500 | 1,545,305 | $(129,805)$ |
| Program and Project Consultants: <br> Legal - General Matters Bracewell LLR Legal - General Matters Burney \& Foreman Engineering consultants IDS/Walter P. Moore MidCorp MDCorp |  | 63,000 | 81,540 | $(18,540)$ |
|  |  | - | 29,310 | $(29,310)$ |
|  |  | 30,000 | 34,237 | $(4,237)$ |
|  |  | - | 65,556 | $(65,556)$ |
|  |  | 9,000 | 7,500 | 1,500 |
| Total Program and Project Consultants |  | 102,000 | 218,143 | $(116,143)$ |
| Total Management Consulting Services |  | \$ 1,517,500 | \$ 1,763,448 | \$(245,948) |
| Capital Expenditures | Vendor | Budget | Actual Expenditures | Variance |
| T-0207 Operating of Zone and Project Facilities <br> Construction/maintenance |  |  |  |  |
|  | MIDCorp | \$ 1,097,019 | \$ 1,099,369 | \$ $(2,350)$ |
| Total Operating of Zone and Project Facilities |  | 1,097,019 | 1,099,369 | $(2,350)$ |
| T-0210 Main Street Enhancements (FTA): |  |  |  |  |
| Design | Walter P. Moore | - | 171,406 | $(171,406)$ |
| Construction and management | SER | 7,000,000 | 3,320,889 | 3,679,111 |
| Other | Various | 25,900 | 420,699 | $(394,799)$ |
| Total Main Street Enhancements |  | 7,025,900 | 3,912,994 | 3,112,906 |
| T-0211 HCC Academic Walk Holman (FTA): |  |  |  |  |
| Construction and management | IDS, TLC and SER | 2,700,000 | 3,180,422 | $(480,422)$ |
| Design | IDS | - | 9,329 | $(9,329)$ |
| Other | Various | 10,100 | 143,839 | $(133,739)$ |
| Total HCC Academic Walk Holman (FTA) |  | 2,710,100 | 3,333,590 | $(623,490)$ |

Midtown Redevelopment Authority Schedule of Operating Expenses and Capital Expenditures (Continued)

For the year ended June 30, 2017

| Capital Expenditures - Continued | Vendor | Budget |  | Actual expenditures | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T-0214 Caroline Streets @ HCCS (Elgin to Holman) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Texas Department |  |  |  |  |
| Construction | Transportation | \$ 4,639,900 | \$ | 3,152,426 | \$ 1,487,474 |
| Design | KCl | 50,000 |  | - | 50,000 |
| Other | Bracewell | 160,100 |  | 57,775 | 102,325 |
| Total Caroline Streets @ HCCS |  | 850,000 |  | 3,210,201 | 1,639,799 |
| T-0225 Mobility and Pedestrian Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction | Centerpe | 50,000 |  | 73,610 | $(23,610)$ |
| Design | Walter P. M | - |  | 34,188 | $(34,188)$ |
| Other | Various | 20,300 |  | 218,785 | $(198,485)$ |
| Total Mobility and Pedestrian Im |  | 155,300 |  | 326,583 | $(171,283)$ |
| T-0220 Affordable Housing: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acquistion |  | - |  | 9,058,536 | $(9,058,536)$ |
| Design |  | 500,000 |  | - | 500,000 |
| Construction |  | 1,500,000 |  | - | 1,500,000 |
| Other Professional Services | Various | 580,000 |  | 2,101,109 | $(1,521,109)$ |
| Total Affordable Housing ( |  | 2,580,000 |  | 11,159,645 | $(8,579,645)$ |
| T-0221 Midtown Superblock Park (fow Midtoym Park): |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction and management | Various | 14,970,000 |  | 10,776,590 | 4,193,410 |
| Other | Various | 40,900 |  | 78,604 | $(37,704)$ |
| Total Midtown Park (Superblock |  | 15,010,900 |  | 11,257,750 | 3,753,150 |
| T-0224 HTC Building Maintenance | Various | 50,300 |  | 69,791 | $(19,491)$ |
| T-0235 Public Art |  |  |  |  |  |
| Design |  | 50,000 |  | - | 50,000 |
| Construction |  | 200,000 |  | - | 200,000 |
| Other |  | 5,300 |  | - | 5,300 |
| Total Public Art |  | 255,300 |  | - | 255,300 |

Midtown Redevelopment Authority Schedule of Operating Expenses and Capital Expenditures (Continued)


# Midtown Redevelopment Authority Schedule of Estimated Project Costs to Actual Costs For the Period December 29, 1995 (Date of Inception) through June 30, 2017 

| Budgat Line Item |  Actual Expenditures <br> From Inception <br> (December 29, 1995) <br> Budgeted Through <br> Expenditures (a) June 30,2016 |  |  | Actual Expenditures <br> From Inception <br> (December 29, 1995) <br> Through <br> June 30, 2017 |  |  |  | Variance to Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Educatlonal Project Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infrastructure Improvements: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roadway and utility system improvements: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Streets and utillties | \$ 84,063,856 |  | 26,950,040 | \$ | 3,627,529 | \$ | 30,577,569 | \$ | 53,486,287 |
| Streetscape and gateways | 40,773,654 |  | 26,297,614 |  | 7,246,584 |  | 33,544,195 |  | 7,229,459 |
| Public infrastructure | 42,000,000 |  | 21,096,285 |  | 9,433,641 |  | 30,529,926 |  | 11,470,074 |
| Total infrastructure Improvements | 166,837,510 |  | 74,343,936 |  | 20,307,754 |  | 94,651,690 |  | 72,185,820 |
| Other project costs: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real property assembly | 06 |  | 20,367,620 |  | $(7,156,476)$ |  | 13,211,144 |  | 12,321,962 |
| Professional services | 6,966,225 |  | 5,849,018 |  | 218,143 |  | 6,067,161 |  | 899,064 |
| Historic preservation | 189.992 |  | 139,992 |  | - |  | 139,992 |  | - |
| Parks and recreational facilltles | 28,903,004 |  | 18,284,629 |  | 10,082,344 |  | 28,366,973 |  | 536,031 |
| Safety and security infrastructure | 1,576,262 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1,576,262 |
| Remedlation | 4,393,956 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 4,393,956 |
| Cultural and public facilities | 11,633,276 |  | 3,387,189 |  | 482,582 |  | 3,869,771 |  | 7,763,505 |
| Total other project costs | 79,145,821 |  | 48,028,448 |  | 3,626,593 |  | 51,655,041 |  | 27,490,780 |
| Affordable housing | 164,840,659 |  | 71,915,933 |  | 12,572,894 |  | 84,488,827 |  | 80,351,832 |
| Financing costs (b) | 69,507,011 |  | 46,882,436 |  | 5,059,848 |  | 51,942,284 |  | 17,564,727 |
| Zone administration | 20,286,136 |  | 13,677,883 |  | 717,850 |  | 14,395,733 |  | 5,890,403 |
| Educational Project Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education project costs | 83,770,000 |  | 43,948,968 |  | 5,215,036 |  | 49,164,004 |  | 34,605,996 |
| Total project plan | \$ 584,387,137 | \$ | 298,797,604 | \$ | 47,499,975 | \$ | 346,297,579 | \$ | 238,089,558 |

(a) Expenditures for the life of the Zone as provided in the Project and Financing Plan. This includes expenditures for both original and annexed areas in the Zone. Line item amounts may be adjusted with approval of the City and the Zone Board of Directors as long as the total costs do not exceed $\$ 584,387,137$. The Budgeted Expenditures are reported based on the Authority's 6th Amendment to the Project and Financing Plan that was approved by City Council in October 2015.
(b) Amount expended for the year ended June 30, 2017, does not include the repayment of bond and note principal payments in the amount of $\$ 8,132,728$.
(c) Expenditures are reported on the accrual basis.

> Midtown Redevelopment Authority Schedule of Properties Held - Land Held for Resale

| Purchased properties - affordable housing: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MRA 001 | \$ | 109,855 |
| MRA 002 |  | 500,569 |
| MRA 003 |  | 587,183 |
| MRA 004 |  | 52,051 |
| MRA 005 |  | - |
| MRA 006 |  | 30,750 |
| MRA 007 |  | 34,161 |
| MRA 008 |  | 36,702 |
| MRA 009 |  | 40,483 |
| MRA 010 |  | 92,021 |
| MRA 011 |  | - |
| MRA 012 |  | 2,384,432 |
| MRA 013 |  | 39,880 |
| MRA 014 |  | 51,446 |
| MRA 015 |  | 22,846 |
| MRA 016 |  | 73,528 |
| MRA 017 |  | 49,169 |
| MRA 018 |  | 79,183 |
| MRA 019 |  | 552,914 |
| MRA 020 |  | 40,955 |
| MRA 021 |  | 175,742 |
| MRA 022 |  | 46,974 |
| MRA 023 |  | 22,421 |
| MRA 024 |  | 38,492 |
| MRA 025 |  | 59,313 |
| MRA 026 |  | 49,826 |
| MRA 027 |  | 55,871 |
| MRA 028 |  | 50,289 |
| MRA 029 |  | 50,239 |
| MRA 030 |  | 82,780 |
| MRA 031 |  | 64,756 |
| MRA 032 |  | 49,792 |
| MRA 033 |  | - |
| MRA 034 |  | 54,015 |
| MRA 035 |  | 54,351 |
| MRA 036 |  | 61,128 |
| MRA 037 |  | 69,942 |
| MRA 038 |  | 28,402 |
| MRA 039 |  | 149,920 |
| MRA 040 |  | 59,338 |
| MRA 041 |  | 51,960 |

Midtown Redevelopment Authority
Schedule of Properties Held - Land Held for Resale
(Continued)

| June 30, 2017 | Total Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Purchased Properties - Affordable Housing (Continued): |  |
| MRA 043 | \$ 97,118 |
| MRA 044 | 143,750 |
| MRA 045 | 76,627 |
| MRA 046 | 66,995 |
| MRA 047 | 47,201 |
| MRA 048 | 39,205 |
| MRA 049 | 44,898 |
| MRA 050 | - |
| MRA 051 | 25,944 |
| MRA 052 | 49,606 |
| MRA 053 | 46,881 |
| MRA 054 | 45,794 |
| MRA 055 | 43,748 |
| MRA 056 | - |
| MRA 057 | 51,615 |
| MRA 058 | - |
| MRA 059 | 60,907 |
| MRA 060 | 52,078 |
| MRA 061 | 75,904 |
| MRA 062 | 59,985 |
| MRA 063 | 61,854 |
| MRA 064 | 42,454 |
| MRA 065 | 131,406 |
| MRA 066 | 56,788 |
| MRA 068 | - |
| MRA 069 | 120,466 |
| MRA 071 | 46,664 |
| MRA 072 | 49,840 |
| MRA 073 | 539,513 |
| MRA 075 | 123,670 |
| MRA 076 | 82,100 |
| MRA 077 | 274,309 |
| MRA 078 | 46,584 |
| MRA 079 | 58,276 |
| MRA 081 | 51,573 |
| MRA 082 | 63,895 |
| MRA 086 | 135,064 |
| MRA 087 | 41,963 |
| MRA 088 | 75,056 |
| MRA 089 | - |
| MRA 090 | 73,286 |
| MRA 091 | 57,086 |

## Midtown Redevelopment Authority Schedule of Properties Held - Land Held for Resale (Continued)

| June 30, 2017 | Total Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Purchased Properties - Affordable Housing (Continued): |  |
| MRA 092 | \$ 47,006 |
| MRA 093 | 58,694 |
| MRA 094 | 54,141 |
| MRA 095 | 169,777 |
| MRA 096 | 112,485 |
| MRA 097 | - |
| MRA 098 | 139,147 |
| MRA 099 | 59,613 |
| MRA 100 | 54,628 |
| MRA 101 | 63,538 |
| MRA 102 | 51,881 |
| MRA 103 | 49,211 |
| MRA 104 | 68,243 |
| MRA 105 | - |
| MRA 106 | - |
| MRA 107 | 124,476 |
| MRA 108 | 99,863 |
| MRA 109 | 33,432 |
| MRA 110 | 109,552 |
| MRA 111 | 79,296 |
| MRA 112 | 57,181 |
| MRA 113 | 63,951 |
| MRA 114 | 77,641 |
| MRA 115 | 57,012 |
| MRA 116 | 56,824 |
| MRA 117 | 62,204 |
| MRA 118 | 62,404 |
| MRA 119 | 43,900 |
| MRA 120 | 466,009 |
| MRA 121 | 93,114 |
| MRA 122 | 67,347 |
| MRA 123 | 52,019 |
| MRA 124 | 45,015 |
| MRA 125 | 46,632 |
| MRA 126 | 46,937 |
| MRA 127 | 52,803 |
| MRA 128 | 52,147 |
| MRA 129 | 52,745 |
| MRA 130 | 52,560 |
| MRA 131 | 53,970 |
| MRA 132 | 55,800 |
| MRA 133 | 55,800 |
| MRA 134 | 55,705 |

Midtown Redevelopment Authority
Schedule of Properties Held - Land Held for Resale (Continued)

| June 30, 2017 | Total Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Purchased Properties - Affordable Housing (Continued): |  |
| MRA 135 | \$ 55,705 |
| MRA 136 | - |
| MRA 137 | - |
| MRA 138 | 109,315 |
| MRA 139 | 50,731 |
| MRA 140 | 54,715 |
| MRA 141 | 61,890 |
| MRA 142 | 41,441 |
| MRA 143 | 45,890 |
| MRA 144 | - |
| MRA 145 | 83,741 |
| MRA 146 | 84,466 |
| MRA 148 | 45,923 |
| MRA 149 | 69,704 |
| MRA 150 | 260,465 |
| MRA 151 | 319,465 |
| MRA 152 | 531,508 |
| MRA 153 | - |
| MRA 154 | 49,645 |
| MRA 155 | 50,140 |
| MRA 156 | 55,890 |
| MRA 157 | 44,965 |
| MRA 158 | 38,905 |
| MRA 159 | 45,674 |
| MRA 160 | 31,213 |
| MRA 161 | - |
| MRA 162 | 314,592 |
| MRA 163 | 47,430 |
| MRA 164 | 49,580 |
| MRA 165 | 42,545 |
| MRA 166 | 53,570 |
| MRA 167 | 58,518 |
| MRA 168 | 128,835 |
| MRA 169 | 214,131 |
| MRA 170 | 104,375 |
| MRA 171 | 62,375 |
| MRA 172 | 111,029 |
| MRA 173 | 75,435 |
| MRA 174 | 388,751 |
| MRA 175 | 72,853 |
| MRA 176 | 140,837 |

## Midtown Redevelopment Authority Schedule of Properties Held - Land Held for Resale (Continued)

| June 30, 2017 | Total Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Purchased Properties - Affordable Housing (Continued): |  |
| MRA 177 | \$ 57,032 |
| MRA 178 | 53,003 |
| MRA 179 | 63,258 |
| MRA 180 | 98,491 |
| MRA 181 | 36,610 |
| MRA182 | 61,375 |
| MRA 183 | 77,763 |
| MRA 184 | 48,407 |
| MRA 185 | 37,907 |
| MRA 186 | 103,657 |
| MRA 187 | 43,125 |
| MRA 188 | 61,635 |
| MRA 189 | 66,772 |
| MRA 190 | 42,203 |
| MRA 191 | 52,203 |
| MRA 192 | 43,203 |
| MRA 193 | 53,203 |
| MRA 194 | 890,682 |
| MRA 195 | 142,927 |
| MRA 196 | - |
| MRA 197 | 40,882 |
| MRA 198 | 52,832 |
| MRA 199 | 61,481 |
| MRA 200 | 71,680 |
| MRA 211 | 58,150 |
| MRA 212 | 43,105 |
| MRA 213 | 53,137 |
| MRA 214 | 91,453 |
| MRA 215 | 431,972 |
| MRA 216 | 48,322 |
| MRA 217 | 237,163 |
| MRA 218 | 49,322 |
| MRA 219 | 52,312 |
| MRA 220 | 48,322 |
| MRA 221 | 48,297 |
| MRA 222 | 110,019 |
| MRA 223 | 35,988 |
| MRA 224 | 41,072 |
| MRA 225 | 51,721 |
| MRA 226 | 61,725 |
| MRA 227 | 58,150 |
| MRA 228 | 49,619 |

##  <br> Midtown Redevelopment Authority <br> Schedule of Properties Held - Land Held for Resale <br> (Continued)

| June 30, 2017 | Total Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Purchased Properties - Affordable Housing (Continued): |  |
| MRA 229 | \$ 114,072 |
| MRA 230 | 34,177 |
| MRA 231 | 48,553 |
| MRA 232 | 58,532 |
| MRA 233 | 179,307 |
| MRA 234 | 48,532 |
| MRA 235 | 55,807 |
| MRA 236 | 156,107 |
| MRA 237 | 113,557 |
| MRA 238 | 57,450 |
| MRA 239 | 58,150 |
| MRA 240 | - |
| MRA 241 | 58,557 |
| MRA 242 | 53,567 |
| MRA 243 | 43,251 |
| MRA 244 | 53,572 |
| MRA 245 | 58,028 |
| MRA 246 | 102,856 |
| MRA 247 | 47,030 |
| MRA 248 | 53,572 |
| MRA 249 | 58,572 |
| MRA 250 | 51,072 |
| MRA 251 | 409,461 |
| MRA 252 | 51,072 |
| MRA 253 | 61,887 |
| MRA 254 | 58,572 |
| MRA 255 | 100,282 |
| MRA 256 | 47,045 |
| MRA 257 | 44,602 |
| MRA 258 | - |
| MRA 259 | 64,572 |
| MRA 260 | 35,072 |
| MRA 261 | 53,572 |
| MRA 262 | 164,771 |
| MRA 263 | 298,007 |
| MRA 264 | 53,572 |
| MRA 265 | 53,572 |
| MRA 266 | 38,447 |
| MRA 267 | 48,142 |
| MRA 268 | 56,052 |
| MRA 269 | 63,897 |

## Midtown Redevelopment Authority <br> Schedule of Properties Held - Land Held for Resale (Continued)

| June 30, 2017 |  | al Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purchased Properties - Affordable Housing (Continued): |  |  |
| MRA 270 | \$ | 50,472 |
| MRA 271 |  | 311,464 |
| MRA 272 |  | 59,022 |
| MRA 273 |  | 231,350 |
| MRA 274 |  | 52,146 |
| MRA 275 |  | 41,572 |
| MRA 276 |  | 105,072 |
| MRA 277 |  | 58,572 |
| MRA 278 |  | 55,922 |
| MRA 279 |  | 141,072 |
| MRA 280 |  | 82,298 |
| MRA 281 |  | 71,322 |
| MRA 282 |  | 19,572 |
| MRA 283 |  | 88,722 |
| MRA 284 |  | 88,722 |
| MRA 285 |  | 58,547 |
| MRA 286 |  | 50,622 |
| MRA 287 |  | 63,854 |
| MRA 288 |  | 59,035 |
| MRA 289 |  | 36,902 |
| MRA 290 |  | 56,938 |
| MRA 291 |  | 92,664 |
| MRA 292 |  | 112,688 |
| MRA 293 |  | 51,935 |
| MRA 294 |  | 52,880 |
| MRA 295 |  | 55,625 |
| MRA 296 |  | 63,424 |
| MRA 297 |  | 56,872 |
| MRA 298 |  | 27,547 |
| MRA 299 |  | - |
| MRA 300 |  | 42,940 |
| MRA 301 |  | 40,863 |
| MRA 302 |  | 53,125 |
| MRA 303 |  | 49,247 |
| MRA 304 |  | 52,589 |
| MRA 305 |  | 52,956 |
| MRA 306 |  | 90,922 |
| MRA 307 |  | 75,643 |
| MRA 308 |  | 129,598 |
| MRA 309 |  | 63,668 |
| MRA 310 |  | 139,793 |

## Midtown Redevelopment Authority <br> Schedule of Properties Held - Land Held for Resale (Continued)

| June 30, 2017 | Total Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purchased Properties - Affordable Housing (Continued): |  |  |
| MRA 311 | \$ | 59,018 |
| MRA 312 |  | 72,868 |
| MRA 313 |  | 44,418 |
| MRA 314 |  | 60,918 |
| MRA 315 |  | 58,142 |
| MRA 316 |  | 55,116 |
| MRA 317 |  | 59,518 |
| MRA 318 |  | 58,918 |
| MRA 319 |  | 69,414 |
| MRA 320 |  | 57,010 |
| MRA 321 |  | 45,810 |
| MRA 322 |  | 58,338 |
| MRA 323 |  | 115,618 |
| MRA 324 |  | 58,211 |
| MRA 325 |  | 81,038 |
| MRA 326 |  | 58,868 |
| MRA 327 |  | 87,418 |
| MRA 328 |  | 153,862 |
| MRA 329 |  | 34,343 |
| MRA 330 |  | 61,418 |
| MRA 331 |  | 57,382 |
| MRA 332 |  | 47,618 |
| MRA 333 |  | 182,963 |
| MRA 334 |  | 48,038 |
| MRA 335 |  | 56,959 |
| MRA336 |  | 275,762 |
| MRA 337 |  | - |
| MRA 338 |  | 56,518 |
| MRA 339 |  | 53,334 |
| MRA 340 |  | 50,713 |
| MRA 341 |  | 57,563 |
| MRA 342 |  | 58,213 |
| MRA 343 |  | 58,163 |
| MRA 344 |  | 57,543 |
| MRA 345 |  | 53,118 |
| MRA 346 |  | 41,764 |
| MRA 347 |  | 41,253 |
| MRA 348 |  | 41,253 |
| MRA 349 |  | 508,500 |
| MRA 350 |  | 57,444 |
| MRA 351 |  | 63,043 |

Midtown Redevelopment Authority
Schedule of Properties Held - Land Held for Resale (Continued)

| June 30, 2017 | Total Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Purchased Properties - Affordable Housing (Continued): |  |
| MRA 352 | \$ 63,168 |
| MRA 353 | 63,113 |
| MRA 354 | 45,738 |
| MRA 355 | 51,163 |
| MRA 356 | 67,363 |
| MRA 366 | 51,113 |
| MRA 367 | 142,138 |
| MRA 368 | 65,314 |
| MRA 369 | 65,172 |
| MRA 370 | 57,053 |
| MRA 371 | 268,053 |
| MRA 372 | 69,681 |
| MRA 373 | 59,426 |
| MRA 374 | 59,426 |
| MRA 375 | 50,452 |
| MRA 376 | 59,963 |
| MRA 377 | - |
| MRA 378 | 69,418 |
| MRA 379 | 66,822 |
| MRA 380 | 287,349 |
| MRA 381 | 283,031 |
| MRA 382 | - |
| MRA 383 | 59,010 |
| MRA 384 | 94,038 |
| MRA 385 | 55,662 |
| MRA 386 | 48,537 |
| MRA 387 | 69,651 |
| MRA 388 | 65,663 |
| MRA 389 | 222,174 |
| MRA 390 | 42,913 |
| MRA 391 | 67,838 |
| MRA 392 | 64,663 |
| MRA 393 | 65,963 |
| MRA 394 | 70,001 |
| MRA 395 | 66,926 |
| MRA 396 | 65,938 |
| MRA 397 | 22,901 |
| MRA 398 | 671,700 |
| MRA 399 | 74,538 |
| MRA 400 | 74,713 |
| MRA 401 | 228,478 |

Midtown Redevelopment Authority Schedule of Properties Held - Land Held for Resale (Continued)

| June 30, 2017 | Total Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Purchased Properties - Affordable Housing (Continued): |  |
| MRA 402 | \$ 260,253 |
| MRA 403 | 1,122,556 |
| MRA 404 | 113,257 |
| MRA 405 | 102,038 |
| MRA 406 | 52,351 |
| MRA 407 | 32,091 |
| MRA 408 | 75,463 |
| MRA 409 | 91,888 |
| MRA 410 | 186,257 |
| MRA 411 | 361,502 |
| MRA 412 | 66,963 |
| MRA 413 | 1,451,103 |
| MRA 414 | 823,813 |
| MRA 415 | 139,578 |
| MRA 416 | 325,728 |
| MRA 417 | 77,068 |
| MRA 418 | 89,071 |
| MRA 419 | 79,393 |
| MRA 420 | 156,838 |
| MRA 421 | 78,679 |
| MRA 422 | 67,878 |
| MRA 423 | 68,219 |
| MRA 424 | 1,004,453 |
| MRA 425 | 91,801 |
| MRA 426 | 78,476 |
| MRA 427 | 78,476 |
| MRA 428 | 78,476 |
| MRA 429 | 78,476 |
| MRA 430 | 62,613 |
| MRA 431 | 24,993 |
| MRA 432 | 698,733 |
| MRA 433 | 1,084,214 |
| MRA 434 | 67,401 |
| MRA 435 | 98,463 |
| MRA 436 | 76,789 |
| MRA 437 | 473,819 |
| MRA 438 | 1,807,152 |

Midtown Redevelopment Authority

## Schedule of Properties Held - Land Held for Resale

 Schedule of Capital Assets

June 30, 2017



# Midtown Redevelopment Authority <br> Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards <br> For the Year Ended June 30, 2017 

| Federal or State Grantor/ <br> Pass-Through Grantor/ <br> Program title |
| :--- |
| U.S. Department of Transportation <br> Passed-thru Midtown Management District <br> Federal Transit Formula Grant <br> Federal Transit Formula Grant |

## NOTE 2: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in OMB Circular A-87, Cost Principles for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Governments, or in 2 CFR 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, And Audit Requirements For Federal Awards, as applicable, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. The Authority has elected not to use the $10 \%$ de minimus indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

## NOTE 3: RELATIONSHIP TO FINANCIAL REPORTS SUBMITTED TO GRANTOR AGENCIES

Amounts reflected in the financial reports filed with grantor agencies for the programs may not agree because of accruals included in the next report filed with the agencies, matching requirements not included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and different program year ends.

# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS 

To the Board of Directors<br>Midtown Redevelopment Authority Houston, Texas

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standiords generallyaccepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to finantial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of Midtown Redevelopment Authority (the Authority), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Authority's basic financialstatements, and have issued our report thereon dated September XX, 2017.

## Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Midtown Redevelopment Authoritys internal centrol over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are apptopriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's sinternal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Organization's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

## Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Authority's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

## Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is/an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entty's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Houston, Texas
September XX, 2017


# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR THE MANOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE 

To the Board of Directors<br>Midtown Redevelopment Authority<br>Houston, Texas

## Report on Compliance for the Major Federal Program

We have audited Midtown Redevelopment Authoritys (the Authority) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Compliance Supplement, that could have a direct and material effect on the Authority's major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2017. The Authority's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

## Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible forcompliance with tederal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards appicable toitsfederal program.

## Auditors' Responsibil

Our responsibility is to express an ppinion on compliance for the Authority's major federal program based on our audit of the types compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accoredance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Authority's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Authority's compliance.

## Opinion on the Major Federal Program

In our opinion, Midtown Redevelopment Authority complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2017.

## Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of Midtown Redevelopment Authority is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Authority's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for the major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal controlover compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's sinternal control over compliance.
A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal and state program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficjencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal and state progrm will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internd control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal and state program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Houston. Texas
September XX, 2017

## SECTION I: SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

## 2017 Financial Statements

Type of auditors' report issued:
Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weakness(es) identified?
- Significant deficiencies identified?

$\qquad$ no
none
reported no


## 2017 Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

- Material weakness(es) identified?
- Significant deficienciesidentifiedR

Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs:

Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance? $\qquad$
$\qquad$ no

Identification of major Federal programs:

CFDA Number
20.507

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and type B programs:

Federal

Name of Federal Program

Federal Transit Formula Grant
\$750,000

## SECTION I: SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS (Continued)

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?
Federal y_ yes $\quad \mathrm{X}$ no

## SECTION II: FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

None

SECTION III: PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS
None


## RESOLUTION REGARDING ANNUAL REVIEW OF AMENDED INVESTMENT POLICY AND LIST OF QUALIFIED BROKERS OF MIDTOWN REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

WHEREAS, the Midtown Redevelopment Authority (the "Authority") was authorized by the City of Houston (the "City") on June 28, 1995 by Resolution No. 95-96 of the City Council of the City, and the Authority operates pursuant to Articles of Incorporation filed with the Secretary of State and Bylaws approved by the City and under the provisions of Chapter 431, Texas Transportation Code and the general laws of the State of Texas applicable to not-for-profit corporations.

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors (the "Board") of the Authority has convened on this date to conduct the Authority's annual review of its investment policy pursuant to Section 2256.005, et seq., Texas Government Code, as amended; and

WHEREAS, the Board has also convened on this date to conduct the Authority's annual review of its list of qualified broker/dealers that are authorized to engage in investment transactions with the Authority, pursuant to Section 2256.025, Texas Government Code, as amended; and
 Investment Policy");

WHEREAS, the Authority's investment policy contains a list of qualified broker/dealers that are authorized to engage in investment transactions with the Authority; and

WHEREAS, the Authority also desires to amend that portion of its investment policy containing a current list of qualified broker/dealers; Now, Therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BQARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE AUTHORITY THAT:
Section 1: The Board hereby adopts the Amended Investment Policy and list of qualified brokers, dated September 28, 2017, attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Section 2: The provisions of this Resolution shall be effective as of the date of adoption of such Investment Policy and shall remain in effect until modified by action of the Board of Directors.

PASSED AND APPROVED this 28th day of September, 2017.

Chairman, Board of Directors

## ATTEST:

Secretary, Board of Directors

## CERTIFICATE FOR RESOLUTION

## THE STATE OF TEXAS

## COUNTY OF HARRIS

I, the undersigned officer of the Board of Directors of the Midtown Redevelopment Authority hereby certify as follows:

1. The Board of Directors of the Midtown Redevelopment Authority convened in open session on the 28th day of September, 2017, at the regular meeting place thereof, within the boundaries of the Zone, and the roll was called of the duly constituted officers and members of the Board to-wit:


Director
Director
Chair
Secretary
Director
Vice Chair
Director
and all of said persons were present, except Director(s) , thus constituting a quorum. Whereupon, among other business, the following was transacted at the meeting: a written

## RESOLUTION REGARDING ANNUAL REVIEW OF AMENDED INVESTMENT POLICY AND LIST OF QUALIFIED BROKERS OF MIDTOWN REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

was introduced for the consideration of the Board. It was then duly moved and seconded that the resolution be adopted, and, after due discussion, the motion, carcying with it the adoption of the resolution, prevailed and carried unanimously.
2. That a true, full and correct copy of the aforesaid Resolution adopted at the meeting described in the above and foregoing paragraph is attached to and follows this certificate; that the Resolution has been duly recorded in the Board's minutes of the meeting; that the persons named in the above and foregoing paragraph are the duly chosen, qualified and acting officers and members of the Board as indicated therein; that each of the officers and members of the Board was duly and sufficiently notified officially and personally, in advance, of the time, place and purpose of the aforesaid meeting, and that the Resolution would be introduced and considered for adoption at the meeting, and each of the officers and members consented, in advance, to the holding of the meeting for such purpose; that the meeting was open to the public as required by law; and that public notice of the time, place and subject of the meeting was given as required by Chapter 551, Texas Government Code.

SIGNED AND SEALED the 28th day of September, 2017.

## AMENDED INVESTMENT POLICY

This Investment Policy (this "Policy"), as amended, is adopted by the Board of Directors of Midtown Redevelopment Authority (the "Authority") pursuant to Chapter 2256 of the Texas Government Code, effective as of the date set forth on the signature page hereof.

## ARTICLE I <br> PURPOSE

## Section 1.01. Purpose.

This Policy with respect to Authority investments has been adopted to establish the principles and criteria by which the funds of the Authority should be invested and secured and to comply with various provisions of Texas law relating to the investment and security of funds of local government corporations (the "Investment Laws"). As of the date of the adoption of this Policy, the following laws are applicable to the investment of the Authority's funds: Chapter 2256, Texas Government Code; Chapter 791, Texas Government Code; Chapter 2257, Texas Government Code; and Chapter 404.101 et seq., Texas Government Code. The Investment Laws generally provide the minimum criteria for the authorized investment and security of the Authority's funds and require the Authority to adopt rules to ensure the investment of Authority funds in accordance with such laws. This Policy will specify the scope of authority of Authority Officials who are responsible for the investment of Authority funds.

Section 2.01. Definitions.


Unless the context requires otherwise, the following terms and phrases used in this Policy shall mean the following:
(a) "Authority Officials" means the Investment Officer, Authority Directors, officers, Employees, and persons and business entities engaged in handling the investment of Authority funds.
(b) "Authorized Collateral" means any means or method of securing the deposit of Authority funds authorized by Chapter 2257, Texas Government Code.
(c) "Authorized Investment" means any security in which the Authority is authorized to invest under Chapter 2256, Texas Government Code.
(d) "Board" means the Board of Directors of the Authority.
(e) "Collateral" means any means or method of securing the deposit of Authority funds under Article IV hereof.
(f) "Collateral Act" means Chapter 2257, Texas Government Code, as amended from time to time.
(g) "Director" means a person appointed to serve on the Board of Directors of the Authority.
(h) "Employee" means any person employed by the Authority, but does not include independent contractors or professionals hired by the Authority as outside consultants, such as the Authority's accountant, financial advisor or general counsel.
(i) "FDIC" means the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or any successor entity.
(j) "Investment Act" means Chapter 2256, Texas Government Code, as amended from time to time.
(k) "Investment Officer(s)" means the Director(s) or Employee(s) of the Authority (or the employee of an investing entity with whom the Authority has contracted to invest its funds) appointed from time to time by the Board to invest and reinvest the funds of the Authority held in its various accounts.

## Section 3.01. Investment Officer.

From time to time, the Authority shall appoint one or more of its Directors or Employees to serve as Investment Officer(s) to handle the investment of Authority funds. The Investment Officer(s) shall be responsible for investing Authority funds in accordance with this Policy. The Investment Officer(s) shall invest the Authority's funds, not for speculation, but for investment, considering the probable safety of capital and the probable income to be derived, with all investment decisions to be governed by the objectives set forth in Section 7.01 hereof.

Section 3.02. Training.
The Investment Officer(s) shall attend training sessions and receive the number of hours of instruction as required by the Investment Act.

## Section 3.03. Reporting by the Investment Officer and Authority Officials.

Not less than quarterly and within a reasonable time after the end of the period reported, the Investment Officer and Authority Officials shall prepare and submit to the Board a written report of the investment transactions for all funds of the Authority for the preceding reporting period. The report must (1) describe in detail the investment position of the Authority on the date of the report; (2) be prepared jointly by all the Investment Officers of the Authority, if the Authority appoints more than one; (3) be signed by all Investment Officers and Authority Officials who prepare the report; (4) contain a summary statement of each pooled fund group that states the beginning market value for the reporting period, ending market value for the period, and fully accrued interest for the reporting period; (5) state the book value and the market value of each separately invested asset at the beginning and end of the reporting period by the type of asset and fund type invested; (6) state the maturity date of each separately invested asset that has a maturity date; (7) state the Authority fund for which each individual investment was acquired; and (8) state the compliance of the investment portfolio as it relates to this Policy and the Investment Act.

Section 3.04. Assistance with Certain Duties of the Investment Officer.
The Board hereby authorizes and directs the Authority's Accountant and any other Authority Officials requested by the Investment Officer to assist the Investment Officer(s) with any of his/her duties, including but not limited to the following:

1. Presenting a copy of this Policy to any person or business organization seeking to sell an investment to the Authority and obtaining the necessary written certification from such seller referred to in this section;
2. Handling investment transactions;

Preparing and submitting to the Board the written report of all investment transactions for the Authority as required by this section;
4. Researching investment options and opportunities;

Obtaining written depository pledge agreements as required herein;
6. Obtaining safe-keeping receipts from the Texas financial institution which serves as a depository for pledged Collateral; and
7. Reviewing the market value of the Authority's investments and of the Collateral pledged to secure the Authority's funds.

PROCEDURES FOR INVESTMENT OF AUTHORITY MONIES
Section 4.01. Qualified Broker/Dealers.
The Board hereby adopts the list of broker/dealers attached hereto as Exhibit A, as the qualified broker/dealers with whom the Authority may engage in inyestment transactions. In addition to annual review and adoption of such list each year pursuant to the adoption of this Policy, the Board may, by written resolution, revise, amend or supplement such list of qualified broker/dealers.

As authorized in the Investment Act, the Board hereby designates the Executive Director of the Authority and one other member of the Board as the "designated investment committee" (the "Designated Investment Committee"). The Designated Investment Committee shall, at its discretion, approve any successors or assigns of those certain broker/dealers listed in Exhibit A hereto.

Section 4.02. Disclosures of Relationships with Entities Offering to Enter into Investment Transactions with the Authority.

The Investment Officer(s) and the Authority Officials shall disclose in writing (a) any "personal business relationship" with a business organization offering to engage in an investment transaction with the Authority and (b) any relationship within the second degree by affinity or consanguinity, as determined by Chapter 573, Texas Government Code, to any individual seeking to sell an investment to the Authority, as required by the Investment Act. The existence of a "personal business relationship" shall be determined in accordance with the Investment Act. Such disclosure statement shall be filed with the Board and the Texas Ethics Commission.

## Section 4.03. Certifications from Sellers of Investments.

The Investment Officer(s) or the Authority Officials shall present this Policy to any person or business organization offering to engage in an investment transaction with the Authority and obtain a certificate stating that such potential seller has reviewed the Policy as provided in the Investment Act. This certificate shall be in a form acceptable to the Authority and shall state that the potential seller has received and reviewed the Policy and has acknowledged that the potential seller has implemented reasonable procedures and controls in an effort to preclude investment transactions with the Authority that are not authorized by this Policy, except to the extent that this authorization is dependent on an analysis of the makeup of the Authority's entire portfolio or requires an interpretation of subjective investment standards. Neither the Investment Officer nor the Authority Officials shall purchase or make any investment from a potential seller that has not delivered to the Authority this required certification. A form of certificate acceptable to the Authority is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

Section 4.04. Solicitation of Bids for Certificates of Deposit.
Bids for certificates of deposit may be solicited orally, in writing, electronically or in any combination of those methods.

Section 4.05. Settlement Basis.
All purchases of investments, except investment in investment pools or in mutual funds, shall be made on a delivery versus payment basis. The safekeeping entity for all Authority investments and for all Collateral pledged to secure Authority funds shall be one approved by the Investment Officer(s).

Section 4.06. Monitoring of the Market Value of Investments and Collateral.
The Investment Officer(s), with the help of such Authority Officials as needed, shall determine the market value of each investment and of all Collateral pledged to secure deposits of Authority funds at least quarterly and at a time as close as practicable to the closing of the reporting period for investments. Such values shall be included on the investment report. The following methods shall be used:
(a) Certificates of deposit shall be valued at their face value plus any accrued but unpaid interest.
(b) Shares in money market mutual funds and investment pools shall be valued at par plus any accrued but unpaid interest.
(c) Other investment securities with a remaining maturity of one year or less may be valued in any of the following ways:
(1) the lower of two bids obtained from securities broker/dealers for such security;
(2) the average of the bid and asked prices for such investment security as published in The Wall Street Journal or The New York Times; the bid price published by any nationally recognized security pricing service; or
(4) the market value quoted by the seller of the security or the owner of such Collateral.
(d) Other investment securities with a remaining maturity greater than one year shall be valued at the lower of two bids obtained from securities broker/dealers for such security, unless two bids are not available, in which case the securities may be valued in any manner provided in $4.06(\mathrm{c})$ hereof.

Section 4.07. Monitoring the Rating Changes in Investments.
Consistent with Section 2256.021 of the Investment Act, the Investment Officer shall monitor all investments that require a minimum rating under subchapter A of Chapter 2256, Texas Government Code, as amended, such that any such investment that does not have the minimum rating shall no longer constitute an authorized investment. Such investments that do not have the required minimum rating shall be liquidated within 30 days of the investment's failure to maintain its required minimum rating.

Section 5.01. Provisions Applicable to All Fund Groups.
A. All funds of the Authority shall be invested only in accordance with this Policy and shall comply with any additional requirements imposed by bond resolutions or trust indentures of the Authority and applicable state law or federal tax law, including the Investment Laws.
B. The Board, by separate resolution, may provide that the Authority's executive director or Investment Officer may withdraw or transfer funds from and to accounts of the Authority only in compliance with this Policy.
C. No fund groups shall be pooled for the purposes of investment, e.g. the funds in the Tax Increment Revenue Fund and in the Surplus Fund shall not be commingled or pooled for purposes of investment.

Section 5.02. Policy of Securing Deposits of Authority Funds -- Applicable to All Deposited Authority Funds.
A. The Authority recognizes that FDIC (or its successor) insurance is available for Authority funds deposited at any one Texas Financial Institution (including branch banks) only up to a maximum of $\$ 250,000^{1}$ (including accrued interest) for each of the following: (i) demand deposits, (ii) time and savings deposits, and (iii) deposits made pursuant to an indenture or pursuant to law in order to pay bondholders or noteholders. It is the policy of the Authority that all deposited funds in each of the Authority's accounts shall be insured by the FDIC, or its successor, and to the extent the deposit surpasses the FDIC Deposit Insurance Coverage limit at any given time, shall be secured by Collateral pledged to the extent of the fair market value of the principal amount deposited plus accrued interest as required by the Collateral Act.

[^0]B. If it is necessary for the Authority's depositories to pledge Collateral to secure the Authority's deposits, (1) the Collateral pledge agreement must be in writing, (2) the Collateral pledge agreement must be approved by the depository's board of directors or loan committee, (3) the depository's approval of the Collateral pledge agreement must be reflected in the minutes of the meeting of the depository's board or loan committee approving same, and (4) the Collateral pledge agreement must be kept in the official records of the depository. The depository must provide to the Investment Officer or Authority Officials with written proof of the depository's approval of the pledge agreement as required herein in a form acceptable to the Authority. A signed or certified copy of the minutes of the meeting of the depository's board or loan committee reflecting the approval of the Collateral pledge agreement or other written documentation of such approval acceptable to the Investment Officer will be accepted. It is the preference of the Board that all requirements of this section be met be prior to the deposit of any Authority funds in such financial institution when a pledge of Collateral is required; however, the Board recognizes that compliance with this preference might not be practicable due to time constraints for making a deposit. In such event, the Board directs the Investment Officer and Authority Officials to proceed diligently to have such agreement approved and documented to assure protection of the Authority's funds. If the decision is made to forego the protection of a Collateral pledge agreement with any depository, the Authority's executive director shall be responsible for maintaining the balance of deposit(s) in such depository plus any accrued but unpaid interest at or below FDIC insurance levels.
C. Collateral pledged by a depository shall be held in safekeeping at an independent third party institution, and the Authority's administrator or Investment Officer shall obtain safekeeping receipts from the Texas financial institution or the safe-keeping institution that reflect that Collateral as allowed by this Investment Policy and in the amount required was pledged to the Authority. Principal and accrued interest on deposits in a financial institution shall not exceed the FDIC's, or its successor's, insurance limits or the market value of the Collateral pledged as security for the Authority's deposits. It shall be acceptable for the Authority's administrator or Investment Officer to periodically receive interest on deposits to be deposited to the credit of the Authority if needed to keep the amount of the funds under the insurance or Collateral limits. It is the preference of the Board that there be no sharing, splitting or cotenancy of Collateral with other secured parties or entities; however, in the event that a depository cannot accommodate this preference due to the denominations of the securities to be pledged, the Board directs the Investment Officer and Authority Officials to obtain appropriate protections in the pledge agreement with the depository to assure that the Collateral is liquidated and the funds distributed appropriately to all parties with a security interest in such Collateral. The Authority's executive director or Investment officer shall monitor the pledged Collateral to assure that it is pledged only to the Authority, review the fair market value of the Collateral to ensure that the Authority's funds are fully secured, and report periodically to the Investment Officer and the Board regarding the Collateral.
D. The Authority's funds deposited in any Texas financial institution, to the extent that they are not insured, may be secured by the pledge of any of the following:

## 1. Surety bonds;

2. An obligation that in the opinion of the Attorney General of the United States is a general obligation of the United States and backed by its full faith and credit;
3. A general or special obligation that is (a) payable from taxes, revenues, or a combination of taxes and revenues and (b) issued by a state or political or governmental entity, agency, instrumentality or subdivision of the state, including a municipality, an institution of higher education as defined by Section 61.003, Texas Education Code, a junior college, a district created under Article XVI, Section 59, of the Texas Constitution, and a public hospital;
4. A fixed-rate collateralized mortgage obligation that has an expected weighted average life of 10 years or less and does not constitute a "high-risk mortgage security" under the Collateral Act;
5. A floating-rate collateralized mortgage obligation that does not constitute a "high-risk mortgage security" under the Collateral Act;
6. A letter of credit issued by a federal home loan bank; or
7. A security in which a public entity may invest under the Investment Act. As of the date of this Policy, the following are the securities in which a public entity may invest under the Investment Act and, therefore, may be used as Collateral:
a. Obligations, including letters of credit, of the United States or its agencies and instrumentalities;
b. Direct obligations of the State of Texas or its agencies and
c. Collateralized mortgage obligations directly issued by a federal agency or instrumentality or the United States, the underlying security for which is guaranteed by an agency or instrumentality of the United States;
d. Other obligations, the prineipal and interest of which are unconditionally guaranteed or insured by or backed by the full faith and credit of the United States or the State of Texas or their respective agencies and instrumentalities, including obligations that are fully guaranteed or insured by the FDIC or by the explicit full faith and credit of the United States;
e. Obligations of states, agencies, counties, cities, and other political subdivisions of any state rated as to investment quality by a nationally recognized investment rating firm not less than A or its equivalent;
f. Certificates of deposit issued by a depository institution that has its main office or a branch office in the State of Texas that are (i) guaranteed by the FDIC or its successor or the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund or its successor, (ii) secured by the obligations in which the Authority may invest under the Investment Act, or (iii) secured in any other manner and amount provided by law for deposits of the Authority;
g. Certificates of deposit made in accordance with the following conditions: (i) a broker that has its main office or a branch office in this state and is selected from a list adopted by the Authority; (ii) the funds are invested by the Authority through a depository institution that has its main office or a branch office in the State of Texas and that is selected by the Authority; (iii) the broker or the depository institution selected by the Authority under clause (i) arranges for the deposit of the funds in certificates of deposit in one or more federally insured depository institutions, wherever located, for the account of the Authority; (iv) the full amount of the principal and accrued interest of each of the certificates of deposit is insured by the United States or an instrumentality of the United States; and (v) the depository institution selected by the Authority under clause (ii), an entity described by Section 2257.041 (d), or a clearing broker dealer registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission and operating pursuant to Security and

Exchange Commission Rule 15c3-3 (17C.F.R. Section 240.15c3-3) acts as custodian for the Authority with respect to the certificates of deposit issued for the account of the Authority;
h. Repurchase agreements that comply with the Investment Act;
i. Bankers' acceptances that comply with the Investment Act;
j. Commercial paper that complies with the Investment Act;

No-load money market mutual funds that comply with the Investment

1. No-load mutual funds that comply with the Investment Act; and
m. Guaranteed investment contracts that comply with the Investment Act.
E. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary provided above, the following may not be used as Collateral and are not authorized as investments for the Authority under the Investment Act:
a. Obligations whose payment represents the coupon payments on the outstanding principal balance of the underlying mortgage-backed security collateral and pays no principal;
b. Obligations whose payment represents the principal stream of cash flow from the underlying mortgage-backed security collateral and bears no interest;
c. Collateralized mortgage obligations that have a final stated maturity date of greater than 10 years other than those listed in Section 5.02.D. 4 and 5.02.D. 5 above; or
d. Collateralized mortgage obligations the interest rate of which is determined by an index that adjusts opposite to the changes in a market index.

Section 5.03. Diversification.
The Investment Officer may invest up to $100 \%$ of the funds of the Authority in any investment instrument authorized in this Policy.

## ARTICLE VI <br> AUTHORIZED INVESTMENTS

Section 6.01. Authorized Investments.
Unless specifically prohibited by law or elsewhere by this Policy, Authority monies in any of its fund groups may be invested and reinvested only in investments under the Investment Act:

1. Obligations, including letters of credit, of the United States or its agencies and instrumentalities, including the Federal Home Loan Banks;
2. Direct obligations of the State of Texas or its agencies and instrumentalities;
3. Collateralized mortgage obligations directly issued by a federal agency or instrumentality of the United States, the underlying security for which is guaranteed by an agency or instrumentality of the United States;
4. Other obligations, the principal and interest of which are unconditionally guaranteed or insured by or backed by the full faith and credit of the State of Texas or the United States or their respective agencies and instrumentalities;
5. Obligations of states, agencies, counties, cities, and other political subdivisions of any state rated as to investment quality by a nationally recognized investment rating firm not less than A or its equivalent;

6. Interest-bearing banking deposits other than those described by Subsection 7 if:
a. The funds invested in the banking deposits are invested through (i) a broker with a main office or branch in this state and is selected from a list adopted by the Authority, or (ii) a depository institution with a main office or branch office in this state that the authority selects;
b. The broker or depository institution selected as described by Subsection (a) arranges for the deposit of the funds in the banking deposits in one or more federally insured depository institutions, regardless of where located, for the Authority's account;
c. The full amount of the principal and accrued interest of the banking deposits is insured by the United States or an instrumentality of the United States; and
d. The Authority appoints as the Authority's custodian of the banking deposits issued for the Authority's account: (i) the depository institution selected as described by Subsection (1); (ii) and entity described by Section 2257.041(d) of the Texas Government Code, as amended; or (iii) a clearing broker dealer registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission and operating under Securities and Exchange Commission Rue 15c3-03.
7. Certificates of deposit issued by a depository institution that has its main or a branch office in the State of Texas and that are (i) guaranteed by the FDIC or its successor or the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund or its successor, (ii) secured by the obligations in which the Authority may invest under the Investment Act, or (iii) secured in any other manner and amount provided by law for deposits of the Authority;
8. Certificates of deposit made in accordance with the following conditions: (i) a broker that has its main office or a branch office in this state and is selected from a list adopted by the Authority; (ii) the funds are invested by the Authority through a depository institution that has its main office or a branch office in the State of Texas and that is selected by the Authority; (iii)
the broker or the depository institution selected by the Authority under clause (i) arranges for the deposit of the funds in certificates of deposit in one or more federally insured depository institutions, wherever located, for the account of the Authority; (iv) the full amount of the principal and accrued interest of each of the certificates of deposit is insured by the United States or an instrumentality of the United States; and (v) the depository institution selected by the Authority under clause (ii), an entity described by Section 2257.041(d), or a clearing broker dealer registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission and operating pursuant to Security and Exchange Commission Rule 15c3-3 (17C.F.R. Section 240.15c3-3) acts as custodian for the Authority with respect to the certificates of deposit issued for the account of the Authority;

9. Investment Pools which meet the requirements set forth in Section 2256.016 and Section 2256.019 of the Texas Government Code, as amended and which are specifically authorized by a resolution that is approved by the Board; and
10. With respect to bond proceeds, guaranteed investment contracts that comply with the Investment Act.

Section 6.02. Prohibited Investments.
Notwithstanding anything to the contrary stated herein, no funds of the Authority may be invested in the following or in any other type of investment prohibited by the Investment Act or other applicable law:

1. Obligations whose payment represents the coupon payments on the outstanding principal balance of the underlying mortgage-backed security collateral and pays no principal (IO's);
2. Obligations whose payment represents the principal stream of cash flow from the underlying mortgage-backed security collateral and bears no interest (PO's);
3. Collateralized mortgage obligations that have a stated final maturity date of greater than 10 years; and
4. Collateralized mortgage obligations the interest rate of which are determined by an index that adjusts opposite to the changes in the market index (inverse floaters).

Section 6.03. Investment of Funds Related to Authority.
Anything in this Policy to the contrary notwithstanding, to the extent that any funds are held by a trustee under a trust indenture relating to the Authority's debt, such funds may be invested as provided by the resolution authorizing the issuance of the bonds or the related trust indenture.

## ARTICLE VII

INVESTMENT STRATEGIES

## Section 7.01. Strategy Applicable to All Funds.

The Authority's general investment strategy for all fund groups shall be to invest such monies from such fund groups so as to accomplish the following objectives, which are listed in the order of importance:


Section 7.02. Investment Strategy for the Tax Increment Revenue Fund.
Funds in the Tax Increment Revenue Fund shall be invested to meet the operating and cash flow requirements of the Authority as determined by the annual operating budget adopted by the Board. Operating funds shall not be invested for longer than three (3) years.

## Section 7.03. Investment Strategy for the Surplus Fund.

Funds in the Surplus Fund shall be invested to meet the operating and cash flow requirements of the Authority as determined by the annual operating budget adopted by the Board. Surplus funds shall not be invested for longer than three (3) years.

## ARTICLE VIII

 MISCELLANEOUS
## Section 8.01. Annual Review.

The Authority shall review this Investment Policy at least annually and adopt a resolution confirming the continuance of the Investment Policy without amendment or adopt an Amended Investment Policy.

## Section 8.02. Superseding Clause.

This Policy supersedes any prior policies adopted by the Board of Directors regarding investment or securitization of Authority Funds.

Section 8.03. Open Meeting.
The Board officially finds, determines and declares that this Investment Policy was reviewed, carefully considered, and adopted at a regular meeting of the Board, and that a sufficient written notice of the date, hour, place and subject of this meeting was posted at a place readily accessible and convenient to the public within the boundaries of the City of Houston Tax Increment Reinvestment Zone Number 2 and on a bulletin board located at a place convenient to the public at the City Hall of the City of Houston, Texas for the time required by law preceding this meeting, as required by the Open Meetings Act, Chapter 551, Texas Government Code, and that this meeting had been open to the public as required by law at all times during which this Policy was discussed, considered and acted upon. The Board further ratifies, approves and confirms such written notice and the contents and posting thereof.


Adopted on the 22nd day of July, 1998.
Ratified on the 29th day of April, 1999, amended and ratified on the 27th day of February, 2003, amended and ratified on the 26th day of August, 2004, amended and ratified on the 25th day of August, 2005, amended and ratified on the 27th day of April, 2006, amended and ratified on the 28th day of June, 2007, amended and ratified on the 29th day of May, 2008, amended and ratified on the 27th day of August, 2009, amended and ratified on the 29th day of April, 2010, ratified on the 28th day of April, 2011 amended and ratified on the 8th day of December, 2011 , reviewed and confirmed on the $26^{\text {th }}$ day of July, 2013, reviewed and confirmed on the $26^{\text {th }}$ day of June, 2014, reviewed and confirmed on the $28^{\text {th }}$ day of May, 2015, reviewed and confirmed on the $25^{\text {th }}$ day of August, 2016, and amended and ratified on the $28^{\text {th }}$ day of September 2017.

## EXHIBIT A LIST OF AUTHORIZED BROKER/DEALERS



U.S. Bank National Association

UBS Financial Services, Inc.
Unity National Bank
Vista Bank
Wallis State Bank
Wells Fargo Advisors, LLC
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.
Westbound Bank
Whitney National Bank
Woodforest National Bank


Exhibit B

# CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE FROM SELLERS OF INVESTMENTS AS REQUIRED BY THE PUBLIC FUNDS INVESTMENT ACT 

## To: Midtown Redevelopment Authority (the "Authority")

From:
[Name of the person offering or the "qualified representative of the business organization" offering to engage in an investment transaction with the Authority]
[Office such person holds]
of:
 (the "Business Organization") [name of financial institution, business organization or investment pool]

Date:


In accordance with the provisions of Chapter 2256 of the Texas Government Code, I hereby certify that:

1. I am an individual offering to enter into an investment transaction with the Authority or a "qualified representative" of the Business Organization offering to enter an investment transaction with the Authority, as applicable, as such terms are used in the Public Funds Investment Act, Chapter 2256, Texas Goyernment Code (the "Investment Act"), and that I meet all requirements under such act to sigh this Certificate.
2. I or the Business Organization, as applicable, anticipate selling to the Authority investments (the "Investments") that comply with the Investment Act and the Authority's Investment Policy as amended and restated last on September 28, 2017 (collectively, the "Investment Policy").
3. I or a registered investment professional that services the Authority's account, as applicable, have received and reviewed the Investment Policy, which the Authority has represented is the complete Investment Policy of the Authority now in full force and effect. The Authority has further acknowledged that I or the Business Organization, as applicable, may rely upon the Investment Policy until the Authority provides me or the Business Organization, as applicable, with any amendments to or any newly adopted form of the Investment Policy.
4. I or the Business Organization, as applicable, have/has implemented reasonable procedures and controls in an effort to preclude investment transactions between the Authority and me or the Business Organization, as applicable, that are not authorized by the Investment Policy, except to the extent that this authorization is dependent upon an analysis of the Authority's entire portfolio or requires an interpretation of subjective investment standards.
5. I or the Business Organization, as applicable, have/has reviewed or will review prior to sale, the terms, conditions and characteristics of the investments to be sold to the

Authority and determined (i) that each of the Investments is an authorized investment for local governments under the Investment Act and (ii) each of the Investments is an authorized investment under the Investment Policy. The Business Organization makes no representation as to whether any limits on the amount of Authority monies to be invested in the Investments exceeds or in any way violates the Investment Policy.
6. The Business Organization makes no representations or guarantees regarding the prudence, reasonableness or adequacy of the Investment Policy.
7. The Business Organization has attached hereto, for return to the Authority, or will provide a prospectus or disclosure document for each of the Investments other than certificates of deposit and direct obligations of the United States.


$$
\Delta_{p_{\lambda \lambda}}
$$
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Background

By contract dated April 1, 2016, entitled the "Planning Grant Agreement", the Reinvestment Zone Number Two and Midtown Redevelopment Authority collectively entered into the Grant Agreement with the Center for Civic and Public Policy Improvement "CCPPI", to address the need for affordable housing and requisite supportive development in a targeted area of disinvestment within the southeast area of the City of Houston.

Under Chapter 311 of the Tax Code of the State of Texas, Tax Increment Reinvestment Zones that are created by petition are required to designate one third of their revenues for the purpose of creating affordable housing locations and opportunities in the City of Houston. TIRZ Number Two, the Midtown Redevelopment Authority, has consistently addressed this requirement through the creation of various programs over the past 20 years, including the purchase of properties in neighborhoods that are blighted and/or lack adequate affordable housing for residents. TIRZ Number Two has been successful in facilitating housing that can be afforded by low-to moderate income families. It has utilized its resources to partner with both non-profit entities and other businesses to convey properties for the construction of needed housing in areas of high property vacancy.

The Center for Civic and Public Policy Improvement (CCPPI) was established in 2012 to address the community needs by advancing policies and programs that promote housing, human, civic and social justice through advocacy, engagement and partnership. Its programs, seminars and ascertainment directed the CCPPI to focus on the immediate need for affordable housing and community development in the historic central areas of our City. CCPPI has worked to address the affordable housing needs of Houston's historic Third Ward and adjacent areas. Utilizing its research of methods for community stabilization, it has retained professionals to develop strategies to direct the acquisition of properties in a targeted area with the goal of preserving opportunities for low and moderate-income persons to retain a presence in the area. In addition to its housing focus, CCPPI collaborated with other state agencies and nonprofits to encourage consumers to enroll in health plans and to provide information to remain safe and conserve energy in hot summer months. CCPPI also led voter registration drives in its community of service.

## Planning Grant Agreement Status

CCPPI was pleased to introduce the Updated Affordable Housing Plan (UAHP) as required by the Planning Grant Agreement (PGA) during the July 27, 2017 meeting of the Board. In addition to the presentation of the UAHP, CCPPI wishes to advise the Board of the compliance with the terms of the following:
(a) Phase I (Plan Update, Coordination Effort and Mixed-Use Development), and
(b) The initial parts of Phase II, Implementation, with the identity of key professionals of the CCPI Team.

As presented in the TIRZ meeting of June 29, 2017, Roberta Burroughs of Burroughs and Associates discussed the preparation of the UAHP and its components. It has now completed in an almost 200 page extensive Plan. Burroughs and Associates met with many community groups, governmental representatives and organizations to assess the current state of the Target Area, including the properties, infrastructure, streets and existing plans of other groups. Burroughs utilized many additional consultants, bringing some from across the nation, to provide supportive designs and calculations that were necessary for demonstrate the capacities for affordable housing in the Area. Each of these professionals played a necessary part in creating the blueprint for the UAHP, as anticipated by the PGA.

## Planning Grant Agreement Report

CCPPI has worked diligently to prepare the following Planning Grant Agreement Report to document the "Coordination Effort," as required by the PGA. This Report demonstrates compliance with the provisions of Section 3 of the Agreement that established the perquisites for movement from Phase I and II. As listed in the attached PGA Delineated Phases and Responsibilities, CCPPI submitted timeline for the individuals and entities responsible for the various activities and projects required by Phase I and II of the PGA. Since development of this list, CCPPI has retained additional consultants to create the Agreement Report. The additional participants in the project are listed in the Capacity Capabilities Section, along with their resumes and backgrounds that qualify them to perform the tasks required. The Report serves as the CCPPI Business Plan for a transformational project referred to as the implementation of Phase III work on a combination of mixed-use building, a 20 unit housing project and a 200 unit housing complex. CCPPI is most excited about this first concrete step.

The PGA Report was developed utilizing the format provided by the Authority Board Liaison. It was designed to bring to life the beginning of the extensive Burroughs Midtown Affordable Housing Plan and follows the recommendations of the Plan with the development of the mixed-
use and housing project anticipated by the PGA. The Report submits the documentation requested by Phase I and II of the PGA. It begins with background Information about the MRA Affordable Housing Initiative and the CCPPI Mission and History, showing a chart that summarizes the roles of leadership of each entity. The Report contains the plans for the "Coordination Effort" of the PGA, proof of retention of consultants and several cash flow descriptions. Proof of retention of consultants is one of the specific requirements of completion of Phase I and the implemented "Coordination Effort." CCPPI is proud of the organizational capacity demonstrated by this group.

The OPS Center, mixed-use services building, will operate as catalyst for development of affordable housing. It will change the neighborhood in ways that similar local governmental entities have done in other cities, such as the District of Columbia. The location is incomparable; there are no similarly situated structures. The "Coordinated Effort" initiates the combination of services that will allow the OPS Center to accommodate a THINK TANK and a housing development gathering of yisionaries and facilities. The OPS Center is conceived as an institution, which will be the place to convene as they conceive methods to direct enhancement of the community.

In the OPS Center, a non-profit group will enjoy reduced rental rates based on its mission and commitment to curate affordable housing. The Center will house the policy staff for the Think Tank and teams/groups that can be assembled to promote development. There will be no other assembly in Houston to promote and innovate polities that address the requirements for complete communities. The policies that impact low to moderate income residents are housing, healthcare, education and criminal justice. For example, the requirement for $9 \%$ LIHTC award includes a commitment to improve the schools.

The plans and specifications of the catalytic development anticipate a timeline for operation beginning in 2019, but cannot be more specific because of the process for identifying the means for financing, including the submission of a Low Income Housing Tax Credit Application. The timeline for such LIHTC applications as set by the State of Texas regulations. Using industry standards, an optimistic loan and construction timeline would require a minimum of three years. As part of the Business Plan, the Report describes the leadership roles to accomplish the Initial Project, including the short term, sample year, pro forma for operations for each building and a long term, five year, compilation of same. The pro forma information is supported by drawings, renderings and elevations that, along with financials, show which scenario is sustainable for such a project. Rather than list the desired criteria for tenants, the Report contains letters from potential tenants that CCPPI would invite to negotiate leases in the mixed used building. The objective of CCPPI is that the tenants in the mixed-use building provide needed services to area residents and/or collaborate with CCPPI in the implementation of the affordable housing and economic development in the community.

## Conclusion

CCPPI requests approval from the Board of the Midtown Redevelopment Authority to proceed with the Implementation Phase of the Affordable Housing Initiative envisioned by the Agreement. This includes:
(1) A commitment from MRA to allocate up to $\$ 25 \mathrm{MM}$ in affordable housing funds and bond proceeds over the next four years as follows:
(a) $\$ 22 \mathrm{MM}$ for the design and construction of the Affordable Housing Operations Center and St. Charles Housing Development as detailed in the Report; and
(b) \$3MM for parking and infrastructure improvements relating to the Emancipation East and West Housing Developments.
(2) Executing an amended and restated Agreement with CCPPI that:
(a) Authorizes an additional $\$ 500,000$ to CCPPI for professional services and staff to accelerate the implementation of the Plan;
(b) Authorizes CCPPI to take all necessary and appropriate actions to get the Operations Center and St. Charles Housing designed and constructed, including retention of consultants as detailed in the Report; and
(c) Authorizes CCPPI to take all necessary and appropriate actions to obtain funding from sources listed in the Report for the design and construction of the Emancipation East and West Housing Developments, including retention of consultants as detailed in the Report.

## DELINEATED PHASES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The following listing of phases contained in the Planning Grant Agreement (Grant Agreement) by and between the Reinvestment Zone Number Two/Midtown Redevelopment Authority and The Center for Civic and Public Policy Improvement "CCPPI" delineated the Phases I, II and III for "The Project" in Article 2, Section 2.01 of the Grant Agreement. The responsible parties are contracted and/or solicited by CCPPI to perform the deliverables required by the Grant Agreement.

## Phasel

Development of Comprehensive Plan to address affordable housing and related community services and infrastructure enhancements in the Target Area
(i) Analysis of current development market in Target Area and coordination with Authority to develop updated Affordable Housing Plan

Task 1 - Identify and Map Sectors
Task 2 - Mini Plans for Each sector with strategies required by (ii) Responsible party: Burroughs and Associates

Completion July 2017
Additional party: CDS Research
Completed May 2017
Property Use Study of all parcels in each of mini Target Areas
Additional party: Water P. Moore
Completed May 2017
Ownership and Infrastructure Study in demonstration Target Area
Preparation of Maps indicating infrastructure capacities
Additional Party: Keith Rowan
Completion July 2017
Determination of area parcels needed for implementation of master plan
Additional Parties Contracted by Burroughs

Responsible Party: Davis, Collins, Mercer
Review of Burroughs and Associates project work for preparation of implementation of The Initial Project, including supporting information for rationale and feasibility

Responsibility Party; Collins, Mercer
Completion July 2017
Collaboration with Burroughs determining capacity of units on parcels in Initial Project Area Master Plan
(ii) Development of "Coordination Effort" - PLAN for coordination and support of community development corporations, businesses, non-governmental organizations and other resources to assist Authority in implement Development Plan. Section 3.03
of Grant agreement establishes perquisites for movement from Phase I and II, however, these phases contact requirements which must be done conterminously and should be reviewed and anticipated to be provided

Responsible Party: Burroughs and Associates
Completion July 2017
Scope of Task 3 - Identify implementing affordable housing strategies in subareas
Scope of Task 4 - Preparation and presentation of preliminary draft to CCPPI and Midtown with Plans for all parcels and Systematic approach for development of housing and selection of developers

Responsible Party: Davis
Completion July 2017
Preparation of rationale for development of Coordination Effort and specific roles of CCPPI and Midtown in addressing Initial Area needs and public policy issues, designating possible operation relationships with non-profits, businesses, agencies and fourdations serying Area

Responsible Party: Collins, Mercer, Davis Completion July 2017
Presentation of rationale to Midtown to support proposed Coordination Effort and Mixed-Use Development of Affordable Housing Plan as demonstrated by Burroughs initial presentation with ongoing collaboration with Burroughs
(iii) Preparation of "Mixed Use Development" Plan projects outlining sustainable funding mechanism to induce construction and programming of mixed use facility, consisting of affordable housing units and office space

Responsible Party: Davis
Preparation of Concept and Operations Scenario for Affordable Housing Operations Center based on ascertainment of needs from housing resources entities and potential users for Initial Project Area. Presentation of above to Midtown

Responsible Party: Collins, Mercer
Using Burroughs information, preparation of Preliminary Concepts for Mixed Use Development based on Midtown ownership in initial project entitled "Revive Emancipation!" (near and along Emancipation Ave). Concepts will serve as basis for "sustainable funding mechanism" and include approximations of construction costs for up to three/four buildings that will house residents and affordable housing operations center. Presentation to Midtown

Responsible Party: Burroughs
Task 5 - From Input of CCPPI, Midtown and others, completion of Midtown (Southeast) Affordable Housing Plan with copies for review, amendment and revision

Based on Burroughs information and Midtown Guidance, preparation of operations estimates for Mixed Use Development, including initial project "Revive Emancipation!", for approval by Midtown in preparation for Phase III of the Project.

APPROVAL of Phase I Deliverables by Midtown Board and of Phase II "Coordination Effort"
Completion August 2017

## Phase II

Task 5 - Evaluation of input related to Preliminary Plan for preparation and presentation of bound copies and digital updated Affordable Housing Plan

Implementation of coordination Effort Utilizing Development Plan with coordination and support of CDC's, businesses, non-governmental organizations and other resources

Responsible Party: Collins, Mercer, Fitzgerald, Bradford Completion August 2017
Preparation of requisite components for complete design and development contract with CCPPI, pursuant to collective information and pending approval by Board

Responsible Party: Davis
Completion September 2017
Using consultant operations costs and projections for Initial Project, development of Project Business Plan for initial mixed-use building based on meetings, findings and tentative agreements with CDC's, governmental entities and nonprofits supportive of operating in facility

## Responsible Party: Davis



Secure form of new additional agreements to implement for complete design and development of Initial Project "Revive Emancipation!" Mixed Used Facilities as part of implementation of Coordination Effort. Funding will continue under Article 3 of Grant Agreement. Agreements will also be sought with local government entities, agencies and others where feasible for said development.

## Responsible Party: Davis

Secure approval of CCPPI and Midtown for AWARD of contracts to complete Phase II and implement Phase III of the Initial Project including the development of Mixed Used Development.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The $\$ 250,000$ limit is temporary and may change from time to time under applicable law.

